		_						
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA							
2	SOUTHERN DIVISION							
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	: Docket No. 7:12-CR-0020-BR-3						
4	Plaintiff,	: Wilmington, North Carolina Friday, February 3, 2012						
5	v.	: 9:40 a.m.						
6	NEVINE ALY ELSHIEKH,	:						
7	Defendant.	:						
8		:						
9								
10	TRANSCRIPT OF PRELIMINARY EXAM AND DETENTION HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBERT B. JONES, JR., UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE							
11	UNITED STATES	MAGISIKATE UUDGE						
12	APPEARANCES:							
13 14	For the United States of America:	United States Attorney's Office BY: TIMOTHY M. SEVERO, AUSA FRANK BRADSHER, AUSA						
15		ROBERT J. HIGDON, JR., AUSA 310 New Bern Avenue, Suite 800 Raleigh, North Carolina 27601						
16		Raicign, Noten Carolina 2,001						
17	For the Defendant:	Swift & McDonald BY: CHARLES D. SWIFT, ESQ. 1809 Seventh Avenue, Suite 1108 Seattle, Washington 98101						
18								
19		LINDA MORENO, ESQ. Post Office Box 10985						
20		Tampa, Florida 33679						
21	Audio Operator:	COURT PERSONNEL						
22	Transcript prepared by:	JANICE RUSSELL TRANSCRIPTS 1133 Tanager Trail						
23		Virginia Beach, Virginia 23451 (757) 422-9089						
24								
25	Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript produced by transcription service.							

						2	
1	INDEX						
2							
3	WITNESSES FOR THE	<u>Direct</u>	Cross	Redirect	Recross		
4	GOVERNMENT: Julia Hanish	8	46				
5	ouria nampin	O	10				
6	WITNESSES FOR THE						
7	DEFENSE: Dr. Aly Elshiekh	71	75	78	80		
8	DI. AIY BISHICKII	7 1	75	70	00		
9	EXHIBITS:			Marked	Received		
10	D-1 Elshiekh's resu	ımá		65	65		
11	D-2 Character lette			65	66		
12	ARGUMENT: Mr. Swift	1.5		03	00	81	
13	Mr. Severo				86,		
14	RESPONSE Mr. Swift	,			80,	91	
15	RESPONSE MI. SWIIL					91	
16	THE COURT: Finding					95	
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
	Case 7:12-cr-00020-BR Documen	nt 61 File	d 03/05/12	2 Page 2 of 2	102		

PROCEEDINGS

2 (Call to Order of the Court)

THE COURT: Good morning.

MS. MORENO: Good morning.

MR. SEVERO: Good morning, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we are here for the purpose of conducting a preliminary examination and, if required, a detention hearing in the matter of United States of America versus Nevine Aly Elshiekh.

Before we begin, I would like to make a few remarks that I think will be helpful to individuals present in the courtroom attending the hearing this morning, as well as to the Court. I urge to listen carefully.

First, I would like to address briefly some of the Rules of this Court regarding courtroom decorum that will govern attendance here this morning, in addition to the information that you have already received from the Court regarding its hearing parameters.

I suspect this morning that you will hear testimony that you may not agree with or that you find upsetting. It is natural that you may want to respond aloud to such testimony or otherwise express your opinion. However, this Court cannot tolerate outbursts, talking in a raised voice, gesturing, unnecessary movements, or other disruptive behavior. Not only does such behavior detract from the serious nature of these

proceedings, but it is disrespectful to the Court and the independent role that this Court has in this case.

This particular courtroom, while very long, is very narrow and movements of persons into and out of the courtroom and within the gallery are often disruptive. You should remain seated during the hearing. If you need to excuse yourself from the courtroom before we recess, please discreetly attract the attention of the security officers and quietly exit the courtroom. Otherwise, please remain seated.

Second, it may be helpful to inform those attending of the particular nature of these proceedings. The preliminary examination, also called a probable cause hearing, is an evidentiary hearing before a Magistrate Judge to determine whether there is probable cause to hold a defendant who has been charged by a complaint for further criminal proceedings in the United States District Court. The defendant in this case is entitled to a preliminary examination unless it is waived.

At the preliminary examination, the Government must establish to the Court's satisfaction that there is probable cause to believe (1) that a crime has been committed and that (2) the accused person has committed it. The probable cause finding may be based on hearsay evidence in whole or in part. The accused's innocence or guilt is not determined at the preliminary hearing. Rather, the hearing serves only to justify holding the defendant in custody or placing him or her

on pre-trial release conditions until such time as a Grand Jury returns an indictment or the United States Attorney files an information against the defendant.

Following the preliminary examination, if the Magistrate Judge finds that there is sufficient evidence to establish probable cause, the defendant must be held to answer in the District Court. On the other hand, if the Magistrate Judge determines the Government has not established probable cause to believe that an offense has been committed and the defendant committed it, the complaint against the defendant must be dismissed and the defendant discharged. The dismissal of the complaint, however, is without prejudice, meaning that the Government may prosecute the defendant at a later time.

The scope of the preliminary examination is narrow, its purpose merely to establish probable cause to believe that an offense has been committed, and that the defendant committed it. Again, the purpose of the hearing is not to convict or acquit the accused.

Accordingly, although the hearing is inquisitorial in nature, it is not the proper setting to test the admissibility of evidence or to require proof sufficient to convict the defendant. The trial itself, and only after an indictment has been returned, is the proper forum for such functions. For example, evidence which tends to prove the existence of an unconstitutional search and seizure, entrapment, a violation of

Miranda, or which bears on various other defenses is generally irrelevant because it is not probative of the key issue of The evidence advanced by the Government at the probable cause. preliminary examination must establish probable cause with respect to each essential element of the offense charged as well as with respect to the identity of the defendant. Magistrate Judge's task is simply to make a practical, common sense decision whether, given all of the circumstances set forth, including the veracity and basis of knowledge of persons supplying hearsay information, that there is a fair probability that the defendant has committed a crime. Certainly, proof beyond a reasonable doubt or personal conviction are not required, nor, on the other hand, is the mere possibility that the defendant committed a crime is a sufficient basis on which to find probable cause.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Rules of Evidence, which govern the trial in a criminal case in the United States District Court, do not apply to a preliminary examination. Other than with respect to privileges, the Federal Rules of Evidence specifically make the Rules inapplicable to preliminary examinations in criminal case. Moreover, the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure allow the Court's reliance on hearsay evidence when determining probable cause.

With these introductory remarks dispensed with, I now turn to this case. Again, we're here for purposes of

```
preliminary examination and, if needed, detention with respect
 1
    to the case of United States of America versus Nevine Aly
 2
    Elshiekh.
 3
             Ms. Elshiekh, this morning, let me ask you: Are you
 4
    under the effect of any kind of medication, any drug, or any
 5
 6
    substance that you feel would prevent you from understanding
 7
    the proceedings this morning?
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             No.
 8
             THE COURT: Are you prepared to go forward with your
 9
10
    case at this time?
11
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
12
             THE COURT:
                         Thank you.
             Are there motions from counsel before we get started?
13
             Ms. Moreno?
14
15
             MS. MORENO: Your Honor, upon reconsideration, no.
             THE COURT: Anything, counsel? No motions?
16
17
             MS. MORENO: No, Your Honor.
18
             THE COURT: Okay. All right.
             MS. MORENO: After consideration.
19
20
             THE COURT: I'll have the Government go with its, go
    forward with its showing.
21
22
             MR. SEVERO: Your Honor, the Government will call
23
    Julia Hanish to the stand, please.
             THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please state your name and
24
    spell your last name for the Court.
25
```

- 1 MS. HANISH: Julia Hanish; J-U-L-I-A, H-A-N-I-S-H.
- 2 JULIA HANISH, GOVERNMENT'S WITNESS, SWORN
- THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please have a seat.
- 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 5 BY MR. SEVERO:
- 6 Q Would you please state your name for the Court?
- 7 A Julia Hanish.
- 8 Q By whom are you employed?
- 9 A I'm a special agent with the FBI.
- 10 Q How long have you been so employed?
- 11 A Almost eight years.
- 12 | Q And which section or divisions have you worked with?
- 13 A I've worked on the criminal side of the FBI in the white
- 14 | collar division, public corruption, and securities fraud.
- 15 | Q Have you -- where -- which section or area are you assigned
- 16 | currently?
- 17 | A Currently, I'm assigned to the Joint Terrorism Task Force.
- 18 | O Out of which area?
- 19 A The Raleigh, North Carolina office.
- 20 Q And were you so assigned in October of 2011?
- 21 A I was.
- 22 | Q And did you receive or did the name Hysen Sherifi come to
- 23 | your attention while you were working in that section?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 | Q And are you familiar with whether Hysen Sherifi has been

Case 7:12-cr-00020-BR Document 61 Filed 03/05/12 Page 8 of 102

- 1 | convicted, or was convicted of anything in October of 2011?
- 2 A I am.
- 3 Q And what was he convicted of?
- 4 A He was convicted of conspiracy to provide material support
- 5 to terrorism, in violation of Title 18, United States Code,
- 6 2339(a); conspiracy to murder, kidnap, maim, and injure persons
- 7 | in a foreign country, in violation of Title 18, United States
- 8 | Code, 1956(b); convicted of possession of a firearm in
- 9 | furtherance of a crime of violence, in violation of Title 18,
- 10 | U. S. Code, 1924(c); and conspiracy to kill a federal officer
- 11 or employee, in violation of Title 18, U. S. Code, 1117.
- 12 Q And was he convicted by a jury, if you know?
- 13 A He was.
- 14 | Q And do you know upon that conviction where he was shipped
- 15 or housed pending his sentence?
- 16 A Yes. He was sent to the New Hanover County Detention
- 17 | Facility.
- 18 | Q And that's in New Hanover, North Carolina?
- 19 A Castle Hayne, North Carolina.
- 20 Q Castle Hayne.
- 21 And after that time did your agency receive any information
- 22 involving Mr. Hysen Sherifi about a murder-for-hire plot?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 We were -- we received information that Mr. Hysen Sherifi
- 25 | wanted assistance in killing three witnesses and an inmate who

- 1 defrauded him.
- 2 Q And how did that information come to your agency?
- 3 A It came through the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, and
- 4 | Firearms, or the ATF.
- 5 Q Did they have a confidential source or witness who had
- 6 provided them information?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q For purposes of this hearing, let's just refer to him as
- 9 Confidential Source No. 1.
- 10 A Okay.
- 11 | Q Did you all find out some information about Confidential
- 12 | Source No. 1?
- 13 A Yes, we did. We knew that he was a federal inmate
- 14 | convicted of drug charges, drug and firearm charges.
- 15 Q Having pending charges, you mean?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 | Q And did you find out from that agency whether he had
- 18 provided them information after his arrest that had led to the
- 19 | arrest of other persons for either drug or weapons charges?
- 20 A Yes, we did.
- 21 | Q And was that -- did that happen?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 | Q And did they find that information to be accurate and
- 24 | correct?
- 25 A They did.

- 1 | Q Following the receipt of that information, did your agency,
- 2 | that being the Federal Bureau of Investigation, did y'all do
- 3 | anything or make any contact with Confidential Source No. 1?
- 4 A Yes. Confidential Source No. 1 was contacted at the New
- 5 Hanover County Detention Facility and interviewed.
- 6 Q And that was done by your agency?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q And did you all receive some information from Confidential
- 9 Source No. 1 about Hysen Sherifi?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 We received information that he was planning and asking for
- 12 assistance to kill three witnesses and one inmate who had
- 13 | allegedly defrauded Mr. Sherifi. He wanted them killed. He
- 14 | wanted them beheaded.
- 15 | Q All right. And at that time did your agency receive the
- 16 | names from Confidential Source No. 1 of the three witnesses?
- 17 A Yes, we did.
- 18 | Q And did you receive information at that time or later as to
- 19 | why Mr. Sherifi wanted those witnesses killed?
- 20 A Yes. Because they testified against him in his federal
- 21 | trial that, of which he was just convicted and to assist the
- 22 boy from Bosnia.
- 23 | Q And based upon your investigation, have you found out
- 24 | anything about the boy from Bosnia?
- 25 A Yes.

- The, the boy from Bosnia he was referring to is Anes
- 2 | Subasic, who is awaiting trial on his charges in the same
- 3 | conspiracy.
- 4 Q And to your knowledge based upon this investigation is,
- 5 does Mr. Sherifi, Hysen Sherifi either have a pending appeal or
- 6 believe he's going to have an appeal?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 BY THE COURT:
- 9 Q Which is it? He, he, he has appealed or he's -- what's the
- 10 | status of the answer?
- MR. SEVERO: Judge, I'm, I'm not sure whether he has
- 12 or has not filed it, so. But he, he believed at the time, you
- 13 know, I think the evidence would show he believed at the time
- 14 he had an appeal.
- THE COURT: She's testifying that Hysen Sherifi
- 16 | believed at the time that he had an appeal or was contemplating
- 17 | appeal of his case?
- 18 MR. SEVERO: His jury trial, yes, Your Honor. And I
- 19 just can't represent to the Court whether he has followed
- 20 | through with that appeal or not. I believe he has, Your Honor,
- 21 | since it is a jury trial, but I don't want to relay that to the
- 22 | Court without confirming it.
- 23 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
- MR. SEVERO: All right.
- 25 BY MR. SEVERO:

- 1 | Q And did the boy from Bosnia, you said -- does he have a
- 2 | pending trial?
- 3 A He does.
- 4 Q All right. And is that for terrorism charges?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q And would persons that were listed as confidential or
- 7 | listed as witnesses in the Hysen Sherifi trial, would they also
- 8 be witnesses in the Subasic trial?
- 9 A Yes, they would.
- 10 Q And during this course of -- strike that.
- Did at some point you have a further interview or did your
- 12 agency go back and interview Confidential Source No. 1 after
- 13 | that initial interview?
- 14 A Yes, we did.
- 15 | Q And did -- what, if any, information did you receive after
- 16 | that from Confidential Source No. 1?
- 17 A Very similar information, that three witnesses he would
- 18 | like killed and also, the cellmate. Also, he would, talked
- 19 about a sum of money which he would have his brother raise.
- 20 | Q Did you come to find out if he had a brother and who that
- 21 brother was?
- 22 A Yes, we did. His brother is Shkumbin Sherifi.
- 23 Q All right. And for the record, could you spell both
- 24 | Shkumbin and Hysen?
- 25 A Yes. Hysen Sherifi; H-Y-S-E-N, S-H-E-R-I-F-I. Shkumbin,

- 1 | S-H-K-U-M-B-I-N, and then Sherifi, S-H-E-R-I-F-I.
- 2 | Q And was a plan discussed between Hysen Sherifi and
- 3 | Confidential Source No. 1 about who would perform the killing
- 4 of these witnesses and the, that inmate?
- 5 A Yes. Confidential Source No. 1 advised that he had someone
- 6 who could do the hit and Mr. Sherifi wanted to make sure his
- 7 | brother or whoever else was dealing did not have contact with
- 8 that person. So he wanted to have a third party available that
- 9 they could deal with.
- 10 Q And was a nickname or street name provided of the hit man?
- 11 | A Not at that time.
- 12 Q At some point later was it?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q And what was that nickname or street name?
- 15 A "Tree" or "Treetop."
- 16 Q After obtaining that information from Confidential Source
- 17 | No. 1, did you all interact or have a meeting with any other
- 18 | confidential sources?
- 19 A Yes. We met with another confidential source, Confidential
- 20 Source No. 2.
- 21 | Q And was that person a relation of Confidential Source
- 22 No. 1?
- 23 A They were associated, yes.
- 24 | Q Did you all interview that person?
- 25 A We did.

- 1 | Q That person agreed to assist law enforcement?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 | Q When you first spoke to that person did they provide you
- 4 | with any information about telephone calls or making phone
- 5 | calls involving Hysen Sherifi?
- 6 | A Yes. CS 2 had previously done a three-way call, multiple
- 7 | three-way calls for Hysen Sherifi.
- 8 Q And can, for the record if you can explain what a three-way
- 9 call is.
- 10 A Yeah.
- 11 When inmates at New Hanover County, when they make
- 12 telephone calls they use a personal identification number or a
- 13 | PIN to make a phone call. Sometimes inmates will make the
- 14 | initial phone call and then ask that person to transfer them to
- 15 a third party.
- 16 Q And why do inmates try to do that?
- 17 A They'll do that often to, so the recording device does not
- 18 | know what number they're calling, to, to hide who they're
- 19 | calling or the, that telephone call.
- 20 Q And are you familiar with the system that the New Hanover
- 21 | County Jail uses to have phone calls go outside, from inmates
- 22 calling people on the outside?
- 23 A Yes. It's called Securus and it's a system outside of the
- 24 | State of North Carolina. The calls are placed at New Hanover
- 25 | County and then those calls are directed to Securus outside of

- 1 | North Carolina and then on to the party they're calling.
- 2 | Q And during your -- from November on, did you all receive
- 3 | further information about the, from Hysen Sherifi, the three
- 4 person, three witnesses to be killed?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 We received the note that Mr. Hysen Sherifi had written
- 7 describing, giving the names of the three individuals, some
- 8 personal identifiers, as well as some vehicle identifiers, and
- 9 possible locations.
- 10 He also provided the name and possible location of the
- 11 | inmate.
- 12 Q And after receiving those three names did you compare those
- 13 | three names with persons who had testified against Hysen
- 14 | Sherifi at his trial?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 | Q And what did you notice about those names?
- 17 | A They were the same names and the descriptions were also
- 18 very accurate.
- 19 Q On or about December, or slightly before December the 7th,
- 20 | did you all receive a letter from anyone?
- 21 A Yes. We received a letter from CS 1. This letter was
- 22 given to him by Hysen Sherifi and he had asked CS 1 to provide
- 23 | that letter to CS 1's attorney so he could send it out so it
- 24 | would not be searched by New Hanover County Detention Facility.
- 25 | Q And you're familiar with the rules of the New Hanover

- 1 | County Detention Center?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 | Q And do they have the ability to or do they search mail that
- 4 | is going from an inmate just to someone out in the general
- 5 public?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q And so the mailing of this letter was to circumvent that?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And is there also any stamp affixed to a letter if it's
- 10 | actually mailed from the New Hanover County Jail?
- 11 A Yes. When a letter is mailed it is stamped with a, a stamp
- 12 that has the name New Hanover County Detention Facility in it.
- 13 | Q And did this letter have such a stamp?
- 14 A It did not.
- 15 | Q And did you come into possession of that letter?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 | Q And did you have -- what was the address on the letter,
- 18 | both from the sending person and the receiving person?
- 19 A The return address was, it was from Hysen Sherifi at a
- 20 Raleigh address, his Raleigh address, to Nevine at another
- 21 Raleigh address.
- 22 | Q Okay. And have you later come to find out who lives at
- 23 | that address without --
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q -- giving the address?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 | O And who lives at that address?
- 3 A Nevine Elshiekh.
- 4 | Q And based upon your investigation does anybody else live at
- 5 | that address?
- 6 A Yes. Also her parents.
- 7 Q And were they, based upon your investigation, were they
- 8 | still living there in December of 2011?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Did you open or look at the letter?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Did you then mail the letter after that?
- 13 A Yes, we did.
- 14 Q Turning or directing your attention to December 21, 2011,
- 15 | are you familiar with an activity that occurred on that date
- 16 involving Nevine Elshiekh and, or Nevine Elshiekh and Hysen
- 17 | Sherifi?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And can you please describe that for the Court?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Ms. Elshiekh had an appointment for a visit with Hysen
- 22 | Sherifi. She was observed -- well, her vehicle was observed
- 23 | arriving at the New Hanover Detention Facility. She then
- 24 | signed in at the visitor desk at the New Hanover County
- 25 Detention Facility and went upstairs to visit with Hysen

- 1 | Sherifi.
- 2 Q And was that on December 21st?
- 3 A That was.
- 4 Q And was that meeting recorded?
- 5 A It was.
- 6 Q And have you had an occasion to listen to that meeting?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q And was it a lengthy meeting?
- 9 A It was.
- 10 Q And are there --
- 11 BY THE COURT:
- 12 Q Wait. What do you mean by "lengthy"?
- 13 BY MR. SEVERO:
- 14 | Q How long was the meeting, if you know?
- 15 | A Sir, approximately 45 minutes.
- 16 THE COURT: Go ahead.
- 17 BY MR. SEVERO:
- 18 | Q And did you have -- have you had an occasion to listen to a
- 19 recording of that meeting?
- 20 A I have.
- 21 | Q And are there things that came to your attention in
- 22 listening to that meeting?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 | Q Can you give some of those to His Honor?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Mr. Sherifi, Hysen Sherifi asked Ms. Elshiekh if she had a
- 2 pen. He also then, it appeared, held something up to the, for
- 3 her to see and asked her to write something down.
- 4 Later in the conversation, we hear, could hear her
- 5 repeating an address.
- 6 Q Okay. And have you -- did you check that address versus
- 7 other information you had in your investigation?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And was that address associated with anyone?
- 10 A It was associated with one of the witnesses who testified
- 11 against Hysen Sherifi and who he wanted killed.
- 12 | Q Did Hysen Sherifi tell her what, if anything, to do with
- 13 | the addresses?
- 14 A He wanted her to provide it to CS 2.
- 15 Q Did she agree to do that?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 BY THE COURT:
- 18 | Q Did he -- what do you mean, "He wanted her to provide it to
- 19 CS 2"? Did, did he ask her to provide it to CS 2?
- 20 A Yes, sir, he did.
- 21 | Q And when you say the address is "associated" with, with the
- 22 | witness, how, how is it so associated?
- 23 A It's a previous address.
- 24 0 Of what?
- 25 A Of the witness.

21

- 1 Q Of home? Business?
- 2 A Home.
- THE COURT: Go ahead.
- 4 MR. SEVERO: All right.
- 5 BY MR. SEVERO:
- 6 Q And was there anything else that came to your attention
- 7 during the course of that -- those conversation -- that -- this
- 8 | conversation? If not --
- 9 A Not that I can recall.
- 10 Q Okay.
- 11 After -- what happened after Ms. Elshiekh left the New
- 12 | Hanover County Jail?
- 13 A Ms. Elshiekh -- I observed Ms. Elshiekh leaving the jail
- 14 and entering her vehicle, a 2004 Volvo.
- 15 | Q Do you see the person in the courtroom who you've
- 16 identified as Nevine Elshiekh, who you know as Nevine Elshiekh?
- 17 | A I do.
- 18 | Q Can you please identify her for the record?
- 19 A Yes. She's sitting at the defendant's table.
- 20 | Q And what is she wearing, or can you describe something that
- 21 | she has on?
- 22 A She's wearing a hijab, a head wrap.
- 23 | Q And have you had an occasion to hear her voice through the
- 24 | course of the conversation, through the course of this
- 25 investigation?

- 1 A I have.
- 2 | Q And was that the same voice that you recognized on the
- 3 recordings from December 21, 2011?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q And did -- or -- did Ms. Elshiekh, to your knowledge, place
- 6 any phone calls that day or shortly after that associated with
- 7 | the addresses?
- 8 A Yes. Ms. Elshiekh called CS 2 and left a voicemail saying
- 9 that she was Hysen Sherifi's friend and she had some
- 10 information for her. CS 2 then called her back and
- 11 Ms. Elshiekh again said she was Hysen Sherifi's friend and
- 12 provided the names and addresses for two of the witnesses and
- 13 | the one inmate that Mr. Sherifi wanted killed.
- 14 Q On or about December 27, 2011, did you, did you come into
- 15 | contact with a copy of a letter?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 | Q Can you tell the Court about that?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 A letter was mailed, or was received by Hysen Sherifi at
- 20 | the New Hanover County Detention Facility. It was from
- 21 Ms. Elshiekh dated, postmarked -- excuse me -- December 27th.
- 22 And included in that letter was a screenshot of a Twitter
- 23 account in the name of one of the witnesses who was to be
- 24 killed.
- 25 Q And was there an address or a return -- was there a return

- 1 | address on the envelope?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 O And what was the return address?
- 4 A It was a Raleigh, North Carolina address, her parents'
- 5 address.
- 6 Q Turning or directing your attention to around December 30th
- 7 or moving forward, did you all receive information about a
- 8 | payment plan or was there a payment involving "Treetop" or
- 9 | "Tree"?
- 10 A Yes. Hysen Sherifi and CS 1 agreed the payment plan would
- 11 be as follows: \$5,000 for each witness, three witnesses, a
- 12 total of \$15,000. \$5,000 would authorize one witness to be
- 13 killed and half of the 15,000, so 70, 7,500 would authorize all
- 14 | three to be killed. The remaining 7,500 would be paid over the
- 15 | course of a year.
- 16 Q At some point in December moving into January, was anybody
- 17 | else identified, or was somebody identified as a person who
- 18 | might be bringing money?
- 19 A Yes. In the beginning of December, Mr. Sherifi told CS 1
- 20 | that there was a young Muslim girl who would be, possibly, who
- 21 | he had been exchanging correspondence with who would possibly
- 22 help him get the money and also meet with CS 2.
- 23 Q Turning or directing your attention to January the 2nd --
- 24 | strike that.
- 25 | Prior -- just recently before January the 2nd of 2012, did

- 1 | you or your agency prepare any type of photograph?
- 2 A Yes. We prepared a photograph of one of the witnesses to
- 3 be a, to look as though it was a surveillance photograph to be
- 4 presented as proof that they were -- they had found -- "Tree"
- 5 had found one of the witnesses that Hysen Sherifi wanted
- 6 killed.
- 7 | Q And was that photograph actually of one of the witnesses?
- 8 A It was.
- 9 Q Was that a photograph of somebody who was, the person that
- 10 was listed in the Twitter page that had been postmarked 12/27?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q And turning or directing your attention to January the 2nd
- 13 of 2012, can you tell His Honor what happened on that date?
- 14 A Yes.
- On January 2, 2012, Ms. Elshiekh's vehicle, the 2004 Volvo,
- 16 | was observed at her residence in the morning. A little later
- 17 on in the morning --
- 18 | Q If I can stop you for a moment, is that -- what -- is that
- 19 residence in --
- 20 THE COURT: Wait a minute.
- Thanks. Go ahead.
- MR. SEVERO: All right.
- 23 BY MR. SEVERO:
- 24 Q Where is that residence located, not the exact street
- 25 | address, just the county?

- 1 A In Raleigh, North Carolina in Wake County.
- 2 Q All right. And was -- what, if anything, was observed
- 3 | after that?
- 4 A Well, after that, Ms. Elshiekh was observed leaving the
- 5 area of her residence and driving to a coffee shop also in
- 6 Raleigh, North Carolina. Once arriving there, she was observed
- 7 | meeting with an individual identified as Shkumbin Sherifi.
- 8 Q Would that be the brother of Hysen Sherifi?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And are you familiar with a nickname or street name of Mr.
- 11 | Shkumbin Sherifi?
- 12 A Yes. He also goes by "Beemie" (phonetic).
- 13 Q All right. What, if anything, happened next?
- 14 A After the meeting, Ms. Elshiekh was observed driving
- 15 eastbound on Interstate 40 to Wilmington, North Carolina.
- 16 | Q Did she go to any particular -- did she arrive in
- 17 | Wilmington, North Carolina?
- 18 A She did, yes.
- 19 | Q All right. And did surveillance observe her driving on
- 20 Interstate 40?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 | Q Was she observed arriving somewhere in Wilmington, North
- 23 | Carolina?
- 24 A Yes. She arrived at a parking lot of a store in
- 25 | Wilmington. She was then observed getting out of her vehicle

- 1 | and meeting with CS 2. They both exited their vehicle.
- 2 | Q And was this meeting video recorded?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Have you had a chance to review that?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 | Q And do -- did you observed anybody in the courtroom in that
- 7 | video?
- 8 A Yes. Ms. Elshiekh.
- 9 | Q Tell the Court what happened during their meeting.
- 10 A As I said, they both, Ms. Elshiekh and CS 2 got out of
- 11 | their cars and introduced themselves and then CS 2 said she had
- 12 | a photo from "Treetop" and "Treetop" wanted to make sure it was
- 13 | the right person to be killed.
- 14 Q And she used the word "to be killed"?
- 15 A She did.
- 16 Q And did Ms. Elshiekh respond to that?
- 17 A She said, "Okay, 'Treetop.'"
- 18 Q And did CS No. 2 say anything after that?
- 19 A Again, she reiterated wanted, she wanted to make sure it
- 20 | was the right person to be killed and she asked Ms. Elshiekh if
- 21 | she had any money for her at that time.
- 22 Q For her or for "Treetop"?
- 23 A For "Treetop." I'm sorry.
- 24 | Q And what, if anything, did Ms. Elshiekh say at that time?
- 25 A Ms. Elshiekh said she did have some money, but she didn't

- 1 have permission from Sherifi at that time to give it to her.
- 2 And she would meet him at 1:00 and would ask him about the
- 3 money.
- 4 Q Was -- did CS No. 2 give anything to the defendant at that
- 5 | time?
- 6 A Yes. She gave her the photograph we prepared of the
- 7 | witness.
- 8 Q And that, that photograph was taken with his permission, is
- 9 | that correct?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Was taken with what?
- 12 MR. SEVERO: That photograph was taken with his
- 13 permission.
- 14 THE COURT: Okay.
- 15 BY MR. SEVERO:
- 16 Q What, if anything, did Ms. Elshiekh do next?
- 17 A Ms. Elshiekh was then observed driving to the New Hanover
- 18 | County Detention Facility, entering the facility, and meeting
- 19 | with Hysen Sherifi.
- 20 | Q And to your knowledge, was that meeting recorded?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q And have you had an occasion to listen to that recording?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 | Q And about how long was that meeting?
- 25 A Again, about 45 minutes.

- 1 | Q All right. And did there come a time during that meeting
- 2 | that Ms. Elshiekh mentioned about, anything about communicating
- 3 with Hysen Sherifi's brother?
- 4 A Yes. She said that "Beemie," his brother, "Beemie," and
- 5 her were -- he kept her company by texting her on the way to
- 6 Wilmington.
- 7 | Q And did there -- was there a part of the conversation -- or
- 8 | what was -- tell the Court about any conversations that came
- 9 to, anything that came to your attention during the course of
- 10 this conversation.
- 11 A Mr. Sherifi asked Ms. Elshiekh if, if she had it and if she
- 12 had met with Miss D or had them. She then responded, "There's
- 13 only one, " and it sounded as though she was showing the photo.
- 14 Then you could hear writing and she said, "Can you see this?
- 15 And just for future reference she was just talking, talking,
- 16 | talking and she shouldn't do that."
- 17 | Q During -- and the name "Miss D," is that a nickname or a
- 18 | street name for CS No. 2?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Did there come a time during this conversation when there
- 21 was any mention again about photographs or pictures?
- 22 A Yes. Later on in the conversation Hysen Sherifi asked
- 23 Ms. Elshiekh if he could see the "pic" again. And then he
- 24 | asked her to -- he repeated "the pic" twice and then he asked
- 25 her to hold it up, hold it closer, move it there, move it to

- 1 | the right, move it to the left, those types of instructions.
- 2 And he then also told her to show, she could show "Beemie."
- 3 | Q And did he identify -- did Hysen Sherifi during the course
- 4 of this conversation identify whether he knew the person in the
- 5 picture?
- 6 A He said that he was sure it was the right person.
- 7 | Q All right. And what, if anything, happened after the
- 8 meeting?
- 9 A After the meeting, Ms. Elshiekh was observed driving back
- 10 | to meet with CS 2 in the parking lot.
- 11 Q If I can have you back up for a moment.
- During the meeting between Ms. Elshiekh and Hysen Sherifi,
- 13 | was there any discussion about the brother and the brother's
- 14 | future travel plans?
- 15 A Yes. "Beemie" and his mother were planning on visiting
- 16 | Hysen Sherifi on Saturday, the following Saturday.
- 17 | Q And moving back, you -- did you -- Ms. Elshiekh left the
- 18 | New Hanover County Jail?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Okay. And were there any phone conversations between
- 21 Ms. Elshiekh and anyone upon her leaving the jail?
- 22 A Upon her leaving the jail, she received a phone, a phone
- 23 | call from Hysen Sherifi where he asked her if she was on her
- 24 | way to meet Miss D and how much she had.
- 25 Q Okay. And tell the Court about that conversation.

- 1 A She responded in a foreign language the amount that he
- 2 didn't understand. He then asked her again what it was and she
- 3 attempted to explain to him how much. They finally came to the
- 4 understanding and it was said that it was \$750.
- 5 | Q Where, if any -- where did Ms. Elshiekh go after that?
- 6 A After that, she went to meet CS 2.
- 7 | Q And did they, in fact, meet?
- 8 A They did.
- 9 Q And what, if anything, hap -- and was that video and audio
- 10 recorded?
- 11 A It was.
- 12 Q And what, if anything, happened during the second meeting?
- 13 A They both exited their vehicles and then entered
- 14 Ms. Elshiekh's vehicle. CS 2 asked Ms. Elshiekh if she had
- 15 | confirmed the photo. She said, "Yes." Then she appeared to
- 16 | not want to speak.
- 17 Q What happened next, if you recall?
- 18 A Then they -- there was talk of a dominoes box and CS 2 was
- 19 | heard count, or CS 2 said 750, counted the money, that it was
- 20 \$750.
- 21 | Q All right. When you say there was talk of a dominoes box,
- 22 | who mentioned the dominoes box?
- 23 A Ms. Elshiekh.
- 24 | Q And you said there was a counting of \$750?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q What, if anything, happened then?
- 2 A Then Ms. Elshiekh and CS 2 parted ways and Ms. Elshiekh was
- 3 | observed driving back to Raleigh, North Carolina on I-40.
- 4 Q And did CS No. 2 meet with y'all right after that?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Did -- were -- was your agency provided anything from CS
- 7 No. 2?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q What were you provided?
- 10 A We were provided a dominoes box with dominoes in it. Also
- 11 | in it was a white envelope with written 750 on it and in the
- 12 envelope was \$750.
- 13 There was also a scrap of paper which on the front said,
- 14 Pic confirmed and on the back said, "His brother will bring
- 15 | the rest next Saturday."
- 16 Q Was there a phone conversation, or did you become aware of
- 17 | a phone conversation following this meeting between the
- 18 defendant and Hysen Sherifi on the 2nd?
- 19 A It was actually between driving from New Hanover County
- 20 Detention Facility by Ms. Elshiekh to, to the meet.
- 21 | Q All right. Is this the, the one in which 750 was
- 22 mentioned?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 | Q And during that phone call was there anything else that
- 25 | came to your attention?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 During that phone call Ms. Elshiekh connected Hysen Sherifi
- 3 on a three-way call to his wife in Kosovo. Towards the end of
- 4 | the call Ms. Elshiekh broke into the call and asked Sherifi,
- 5 Hysen Sherifi, if she should provide the photograph back to
- 6 Miss D and he says, "No," to keep it.
- 7 Q Turning or directing your attention to January the 6th,
- 8 2012, are you familiar with a phone call on that day between
- 9 Nevine Elshiekh and Hysen Sherifi?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 | O And --
- 12 THE COURT: I'm sorry. What date was that?
- MR. SEVERO: January the 6th.
- 14 THE COURT: Okay. I'm sorry.
- 15 BY MR. SEVERO:
- 16 | Q And have you had an occasion to listen to that phone call?
- 17 A I have.
- 18 | Q And did that phone call occur over the Securus phone
- 19 system?
- 20 A It did.
- 21 Q And was there anything that stood out, or came to your
- 22 attention about the time of the phone call?
- 23 A About the time?
- 24 Q Yes.
- 25 A I'm sorry.

- 1 Q Not the exact time, but what, where it occurred.
- 2 A It, it occurred at Ms. Elshiekh's work.
- 3 Q Okay. She was calling from her work?
- 4 A Yes. Well, he was calling her and she was at her work.
- 5 | Q Did she, in fact, answer the phone?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 | Q During the course of that conversation, did anything come
- 8 | to your attention or stand out in your attention as it relates
- 9 to this investigation?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Ms. Elshiekh and Hysen Sherifi discussed "Beemie," Shkumbin
- 12 | Sherifi, selling some items at a pawn shop and Ms. Elshiekh
- 13 said she directed "Beemie" four different places to go.
- 14 She also points out that on Sunday when Shkumbin Sherifi is
- 15 | meeting with Hysen Sherifi his mother will be there and she
- 16 | should, he should meet with Miss D while his mother is meeting
- 17 | with Hysen Sherifi.
- 18 | Q So that the mother and "Beem" -- so that the mother
- 19 | wouldn't be present for the meeting with Miss D?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 | Q And this happened while she was at work?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q And did cer -- okay.
- 24 Turning or directing your attention to January the 7th,
- 25 | 2012, are you familiar with a phone call between Nevine

- 1 | Elshiekh and Hysen Sherifi on that day?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And is that, again occur over the Securus phone system?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 | Q And what, if anything, stood out or came to your attention
- 6 during the course of that phone conversation?
- 7 A During the phone call Ms. Elshiekh informs Hysen Sherifi
- 8 | that they're done, "Beemie" is done. He has \$5200. Hysen
- 9 | Sherifi then repeats multiple times \$5200, \$5,200 in various
- 10 ways and appears very excited.
- 11 | Q All right. And did she make any comments about being in
- 12 | contact with "Beemie" while this phone conversation's going on?
- 13 A Yes. She says she is on the Internet with him and he's
- 14 responding to her right now. She asks if he knows what to do
- 15 | with Miss D. Hysen Sherifi says, "He does, but if you could
- 16 | tell him again, that would be helpful. So if you could tell
- 17 | him again, that'd be good."
- 18 Q Turning or directing your attention to January the 8th,
- 19 | 2012, are you familiar with an event that occurred on that
- 20 date?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 On January 8th, Shkumbin Sherifi and his mother were
- 23 | observed driving east on Interstate 40 after leaving their home
- 24 and then observed going to the New Hanover County Detention
- 25 | Facility to visit Hysen Sherifi.

- 1 | Q Okay. Did they travel over Interstate 40?
- 2 A They did.
- 3 | Q And were they observed arriving in Wilmington?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 | Q And where, if anywhere, did they go?
- 6 A They went to the New Hanover County Detention Facility.
- 7 | Q Did they, in fact, meet with Hysen Sherifi?
- 8 A They did.
- 9 | Q And have you -- was that -- what language -- was that
- 10 | language in English or another language?
- 11 A Another language.
- 12 Q And has that been listened to by someone who is fluent in
- 13 | that language?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 | Q And is -- during the course of the conversations is there
- 16 any conversation between Shkumbin Sherifi or "Beemie" and Hysen
- 17 | Sherifi about money and Miss D?
- 18 | A Yes. Hysen Sherifi informs Shkumbin "Beemie" Sherifi to
- 19 provide 4,250 for Miss D out of the total of \$5,200 and to
- 20 give, keep 200 for himself and to give the rest to her.
- 21 Q And after that is -- are there texts or is there some
- 22 | communication with CS No. 2?
- 23 | A Yes. CS No. 2 received a phone call from someone
- 24 representing themselves as Shkumbin's sister. I think she
- 25 | might have said Sherifi's sister and trying to help him locate

- 1 her because his phone was not working.
- 2 Q And are -- is information exchanged as to where CS No. 2 is
- 3 | at the time?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 | Q And is that in a parking lot in Wilmington?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 | Q Is there a meeting between CS No. 2 and Shkumbin Sherifi on
- 8 January the 8th, 2012?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And tell the Court about that.
- 11 A Shkumbin Sherifi entered the car of CS 2. She asked him
- 12 how much -- well, they introduced themselves. She asked him
- 13 how much he had. He said 4250. He then asked her to pull
- 14 | forward 'cause he was concerned about his mother seeing what
- 15 | was happening.
- 16 0 And did she do that?
- 17 | A She did.
- 18 Q What, if anything, happened then?
- 19 A She again asked him how much he had. Said he had 40,
- 20 \$4,250. She then counted the money. Previously, he had told,
- 21 | asked her if she had previously received \$750. She said she
- 22 had. He asked from who. She said a female. She didn't
- 23 remember who and "Beemie" said, "Nevine." And she agreed.
- Going forward, after she counted the money CS 2 advised
- 25 | "Beemie" that 42, 4,250, plus 750 was \$5,000 and that would

- 1 only be enough money for one person and she wanted him to ask
- 2 | Sherifi which one he wanted killed, the Arab or the black.
- 3 Q And did Sherifi leave after that?
- 4 A He did.
- 5 | Q Turning your attention or to -- no -- to January 13th of
- 6 2012, was anybody sentenced on that date?
- 7 A Hysen Sherifi, Omar Hassan, and Ziyad Yaghi.
- 8 Q Okay. And did the defendant attend that sentencing?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 | Q And did Shkumbin Sherifi attend that sentencing?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 | Q Turning or directing your attention to January 20, 2012,
- 13 | was there a phone call between Nevine Elshiekh and Hysen
- 14 | Sherifi on that date that you're aware of?
- 15 A Yes. Hysen Sherifi called Ms. Elshiekh and asked her to
- 16 | let "Beemie" know to come by himself to the visit on Sunday.
- 17 | He asked her to get in touch with "Beemie" and they discussed
- 18 | doing that over e-mail, over Twitter, and over text.
- 19 | Q Had information been given to Hysen Sherifi in this time
- 20 period about anything being done?
- 21 | A Yes. He had received information that something was needed
- 22 | to be picked up from Miss D.
- 23 | Q And was that -- what was that in relationship to?
- 24 A I'm sorry. In relationship to the hit, he, he was informed
- 25 | that it had been done and proof would -- he had previously

- 1 | requested a photo as a trophy and he was told that on Sunday
- 2 | the photo would, would be in Wilmington so he could see it.
- 3 | Q Okay. And Sunday being January 22nd?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Okay. Now backing up -- I'm sorry to jump around -- but
- 6 backing up to January 20, 2012 in that phone call, was there
- 7 | anything that stood out and came to your attention?
- 8 A Yes. Hysen Sherifi asked Ms. Elshiekh if she was wanded or
- 9 searched when coming up to visit him at the New Hanover County
- 10 Detention Facility. She said she was, but she was usually
- 11 | allowed to bring pen and paper but some, it, it depended when
- 12 | she came if she was allowed to or not.
- So she was going to put a pencil and paper in her pocket in
- 14 case they would not let her bring her notebook in.
- 15 Q And did she make any state, anything during the course of
- 16 | that conversation about if she was in contact with "Beemie" or,
- 17 | and if so, how?
- 18 A Yes. She said that she had e-mailed him.
- 19 Q And turning or directing your attention to January 21,
- 20 | 2012, are you aware of a phone call between Hysen Sherifi and
- 21 | the defendant on that date?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 | Q And that -- did that again occur over the Securus phone
- 24 line?
- 25 A Yes, it did.

- 1 Q Okay. And does anything of that conversation stick out to
- 2 your attention?
- 3 | A In that conversation Ms. Elshiekh inquired if "Beemie" had
- 4 | a good plan together for when he met Miss D. She said that she
- 5 | had e-mailed him, Twittered him, and texted him and she e-
- 6 | mailed him what to bring, to bring some -- she -- I'm sorry.
- 7 | Excuse me.
- 8 I'm going to have to have you ask again. I lost my train
- 9 of thought.
- 10 | Q All right. During the course of that conversation, was
- 11 | there anything about placing things in your pocket or anything
- 12 | like that?
- 13 A Yes. Mr. Sherifi was very concerned that what "Beemie"
- 14 | picked up from Miss D, that it come to him so he could see it
- 15 and he told Ms. Elshiekh that. And so he asked her again to e-
- 16 | mail "Beemie" about that to make sure to bring it with, with
- 17 | him and to put it in his pocket so it could get up to see
- 18 Mr. Sherifi.
- 19 | Q And to your knowledge, was there any conversation or
- 20 | contact between "Beemie" and Ms. Elshiekh about the meeting on
- 21 | the 22nd involving the Sherifis?
- 22 A I don't know other than her saying that she was texting or
- 23 e-mailing.
- 24 Q All right. Did she meet with Hysen Sherifi on the 21st?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q And for what reason?
- 2 A For a visit and to pick up his property that was in his
- 3 | jail cell.
- 4 Q Turning or directing your attention to January 22nd of
- 5 2012, are you familiar with the events that happened on that
- 6 date?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Can you summarize those for the Court, please?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Shkumbin Sherifi, "Beemie," was observed driving on,
- 11 | leaving his home and driving on Interstate 40 towards the
- 12 Wilmington area. On the way there he stopped and met with CS
- 13 2. At that time she provided him with two photos, a photo of a
- 14 | severed head and a photo of a man in a shallow ditch that, who
- 15 appeared to be dead.
- 16 Q And who was the person in the photograph without giving the
- 17 | name?
- 18 A One of the witnesses against Hysen Sherifi.
- 19 Q The same person depicted in the photograph that the
- 20 defendant had a, had gotten from CS 2 and shown to Hysen
- 21 | Sherifi?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q And upon receiving those photographs where did "Beemie" or
- 24 | Hysen Sherifi [sic] go?
- 25 A He went to the New Hanover County Detention Facility where

- 1 he met with Hysen Sherifi.
- 2 Q And did they, in fact, meet?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And did "Beemie" show the photographs to Hysen Sherifi?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Was there a conversation between Hysen Sherifi and CS No. 1
- 7 | following the showing of those photographs?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 O And was that conversation recorded?
- 10 A It was.
- 11 | Q Have you had occasion to hear parts of that conversation?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 | Q And were there any parts of that conversation that stood
- 14 | out to your attention?
- 15 A Yes. Mr. Sherifi said that it was done in daylight and it
- 16 | looked like the man had been placed, shot and placed in a
- 17 | shallow grave. He said they shouldn't have wasted the bullet.
- 18 He also said he -- well, he said he felt a lot better and
- 19 that this individual would be sleeping a long time.
- 20 He also said that he was beheaded in the throat area. It
- 21 | looked like a cell phone pic and these pictures, he wanted to
- 22 give to his girl so she could give them to the Boyds in case
- 23 | they chose to testify.
- 24 | Q And the Boyds were, they had been witnesses who testified
- 25 | against Hysen Sherifi?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 | Q And would they be witnesses in a retrial of Hysen Sherifi?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 | Q And would they also be witnesses against Subasic?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q And was an arrest of Shkumbin Sherifi done on that day?
- 7 A Yes. He was arrested as he was leaving the visit with
- 8 Hysen Sherifi.
- 9 | Q And were any photographs found on his person?
- 10 A Yes. In his front jacket pocket they found the, a
- 11 | photograph of the severed head, photograph of the dead body,
- 12 | the photograph of, the surveillance photograph with, which was
- 13 given to Ms. Elshiekh, and a receipt for \$5200.
- 14 Q And was that for items that had been provided or, or some
- of the items provided by Ms. Elshiekh?
- 16 A One, yes.
- 17 | Q All right.
- 18 A Oh. I'm sorry. The receipt?
- 19 Q No. The items from the receipt, were those items that had
- 20 been provided by Ms. Elshiekh?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q Turning or directing your attention to, again, on the 22nd,
- 23 | was Ms. Elshiekh arrested?
- 24 A Yes, she was.
- 25 | Q All right. And were cell phones acquired from

- 1 Ms. Elshiekh?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 | Q And did you note anything about the numbers of the cell
- 4 phones? Did they match up with anything else in this
- 5 investigation?
- 6 A Yes. Both cell phones matched phone numbers used to call
- 7 | CS 2 and also that Hysen Sherifi called from his Securus
- 8 account at New Hanover County Detention Facility.
- 9 Q After she was arrested, was she taken anywhere?
- 10 A Yes. She was taken to the Raleigh office of the FBI.
- 11 | Q And while she was there was she given any rights?
- 12 A Yes. She was read her rights.
- 13 Q And after having read her rights did she do anything?
- 14 A She waived those rights and signed the Advice of Rights
- 15 form.
- 16 O And were -- was she in handcuffs after that?
- 17 | A No. She was not in handcuffs. Once we brought her to the
- 18 Raleigh office, she was uncuffed.
- 19 | Q All right. And did you allow her to wear anything in
- 20 particular during -- after -- during this time?
- 21 A Yes. She was allowed to, to wear everything that was on
- 22 her, her head wrap, and her jacket, everything.
- 23 | Q All right. And did -- after waiving her rights, did she
- 24 | agree to speak with y'all?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q Did she, in fact, do that?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 | Q Did she tell you how she came to meet Hysen Sherifi?
- 4 A Yes. She said that in October of 2011 she attended the
- 5 trial of, of Hysen Sherifi, Omar Hassan, and Ziyad Yaqhi
- 6 because she was a family friend of the Hassan family. She said
- 7 during that time she started writing letters to Omar Hassan,
- 8 | Ziyad Yaghi, and Hysen Sherifi and her and Hysen Sherifi
- 9 exchanged numerous letters and then telephone calls.
- 10 Q And did she indicate to you that she started, continued
- 11 talking to him and developed a relationship with him?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 | Q Did she make any statements to you about addresses of any
- 14 people?
- 15 A Yes. She advised me that she was given addresses by Hysen
- 16 | Sherifi during one of her visits with him at the New Hanover
- 17 | County Detention Facility and she provided those addresses to a
- 18 | woman she knew as Miss D.
- 19 | Q And did she -- did -- how did she refer to those people?
- 20 Did she call them by any name or say anything about them?
- 21 A She called them informants.
- 22 | Q Did she say anything and provide you with any information
- 23 | about obtaining money or getting money as it relates to this?
- 24 A Yes. She advised me that she met with "Beemie" who gave
- 25 her \$710 and she then added \$40 to that amount.

- She also gave "Beemie" different pieces of gold in jewelry
- 2 of hers for him to pawn.
- 3 Q Okay. And did she say anything about getting a picture
- 4 from Miss D?
- 5 A Yes. She explained that she met with Miss D before one of
- 6 her visits. Miss D provided her with the photograph and asked
- 7 | her if this was the -- "Tree" -- said "Treetop" wanted to know
- 8 | if this was the right person to be killed. She said she took
- 9 that photograph to Mr. Sherifi. Mr. Sherifi then identified
- 10 that photograph and told her to pay Miss D.
- 11 She then went back to Miss D, confirmed that that were the
- 12 | right person to be killed, and provided her with \$750.
- 13 | Q Did she tell you that she knew that they were going to kill
- 14 somebody as of January 2nd?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 | Q Did she tell you anything about Subasic or help -- or
- 17 | whether -- what Sherifi was trying to do with Subasic?
- 18 | A Yes. She said that Sherifi said he was trying to assist
- 19 Anes Subasic and she also said that she was trying to assist
- 20 Anes Subasic.
- 21 | Q All right. Did she confirm to you that she told "Beemie"
- 22 to come alone on the 22nd?
- 23 A Yes.
- MR. SEVERO: May I have just a moment, Your Honor?
- THE COURT: Yes, sir.

```
Hanish - Cross
                                                               46
            MR. SEVERO: May I have just a moment?
 1
 2
            THE COURT: Yes, sir.
        (Pause)
 3
            MR. SEVERO: Your Honor, tender the witness.
 4
            THE COURT: All right.
 5
            Ms. Moreno or Mr. Swift?
 6
 7
            MR. SWIFT: I'll handle this for the defense, Your
    Honor.
           Thank you.
 8
 9
            THE COURT: I'm sorry?
            MR. SWIFT: I'll handle it for the defense.
10
11
            THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
            MR. SWIFT: Thank you.
12
13
                          CROSS-EXAMINATION
    BY MR. SWIFT:
14
15
        Good morning.
       Morning.
16
       Let's start back with the timeline.
17
        You said that -- I believe you testified that Hysen
18
19
    Sherifi, Omar Hassan, and Ziyad Yaghi were convicted on October
    13th, is that correct, of last year?
20
       Yes.
21
    Α
       Now shortly after that, you learned of a plot involving one
22
23
    of those, Hysen Sherifi, correct?
24
       About a month later, over a month.
25
    Q Do you know what date you, when CS 1 first approached you
```

- 1 | or Confidential Informant 1 first approached you?
- 2 A We first interviewed him on the 18th of November.
- 3 Q 18th of November.
- 4 Did you, he tell you when they'd had the conversation?
- 5 A He -- not exactly, not an exact date, I don't believe.
- 6 Q He didn't give you an exact date. This conversation was
- 7 | the first one where Hysen Sherifi had approached the CI 1 about
- 8 helping with the murder-for-hire, or murders-for-hire?
- 9 A This was the first time that the FBI interviewed him. I
- 10 was not present, but this is the first time he was interviewed
- 11 by the FBI.
- 12 | Q Now at any point did you all put listening devices on CI 1?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q When did you first do that?
- 15 A December 30th.
- 16 | O December 30th?
- 17 | A Yes.
- 18 Q Now you indicated that Nevine, that the defendant here,
- 19 | Nevine, started writing to all three of the defendants, that
- 20 | she told you that?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 | Q Were you able to confirm that? Have you gotten letters,
- 23 | found the letters?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q Do you have copies of all the letters that she's written?

- 1 A I don't know if we have all. We have lots of them.
- 2 Q Do you have copies of letters to Omar Hassan?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Copies of letters to Ziyad Yaghi?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q And Hysen, and Hysen Sherifi?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Do you know what the date of the first of those letters is?
- 9 A I know the date of the first letter for Hysen Sherifi, I
- 10 believe, was October 24th.
- 11 | Q So that was after the trial had concluded?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q In your investigation, did you discover that they'd ever
- 14 had any contact prior to October 24th and that letter?
- 15 A I know she sent one letter in September 2009. It appeared
- 16 | she was a volunteer sending a letter, but it appears to me the
- 17 | first letter where she has actual communication with him is on
- 18 | the 24th of October.
- 19 Q So that's after his conviction?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 | Q Now did either of the other two defendants, or other two of
- 22 | the individuals from the October 13th, Omar Hassan or Ziyad
- 23 | Yaghi, ever write back to her?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 | Q And she corresponded with them as well?

- 1 A Yes, not to the same extent, but yes.
- 2 Q And Hysen Sherifi, he started writing back to her?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And he certainly -- in these letters he was certainly
- 5 | flattering to her, wasn't he?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q And certainly attempting to enlist her aid?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And eventually, she agreed to speak with him on the phone,
- 10 | correct, and he called her?
- 11 A She provided him her telephone number, yes.
- 12 | Q Now did you monitor every phone call between them?
- 13 A They were all monitored by New Hanover County Detention
- 14 | Facility. I believe that we have monitored most of them,
- 15 | although I haven't, I can't say that for sure that very single
- 16 | call was monitored by us.
- 17 | Q In any of those calls prior to the end of December, did,
- 18 | the initial calls, did he talk to her about witnesses or taking
- 19 | care of witnesses in his case against him?
- 20 A He asked -- he gave her the names of the witnesses --
- 21 Q Did he tell her --
- 22 A -- and he asked her to research them, them on Google based
- 23 on --
- 24 | Q Did he tell her why?
- 25 A At that point, no.

- 1 Q In fact, when he gave her those names was that during a
- 2 | visit?
- 3 A He gave them to her at two different times. The, the visit
- 4 | -- he asked her in a telephone call if she knew who the
- 5 | informants were. She said, yes, and he asked her to research
- 6 them. In the visit is when he gave her the names and the
- 7 addresses.
- 8 BY THE COURT:
- 9 Q I'm sorry. He, he asked her if she knew who the informants
- 10 | were?
- 11 A If she knew the informants' names.
- 12 Q The informants from the October trial?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Okay.
- 15 BY MR. SWIFT:
- 16 Q Now the first time that the defendant visited was in late
- 17 | November or early November -- excuse me -- late November, is
- 18 | that correct?
- 19 A The first time she visited I believe was December 17th.
- 20 | Q December 17th, as late as that --
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 | Q -- was her first visit?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 | Q And that during that visit is when he gave her the names?
- 25 A No. That was the next week, December 21st.

- 1 | Q Okay. Did he ask -- did he first ask, give her names to
- 2 research on the December 17th visit?
- 3 A I do not know about the December 17th visit.
- 4 Q You said that there was a letter that was sent out to her
- 5 during your testimony earlier through CI 1?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 | Q You, of course, have that letter, correct, or a copy of it?
- 8 A We have a copy.
- 9 Q Anything in this confidential letter, was there anything in
- 10 | there where it explained what he wanted to enlist her aid in?
- 11 A No.
- 12 Q What did he talk about in it?
- 13 A It was a social letter with bracelets.
- 14 Q Okay. A social letter with bracelets?
- 15 A Yes. He had made bracelets and there were bracelets in
- 16 there.
- 17 | Q Okay. So in this opportunity to talk to her confidentially
- 18 | he didn't reveal what the plan was?
- 19 A No.
- 20 Q Now you said that ever he gave her the names on the
- 21 December 21st meeting, he gave her a list of names and had her
- 22 | write down addresses, is that correct?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 | Q She called up the person we call CI 2, or Miss D?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 | Q Which is not her real name so we can use Miss D, correct?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q I'll use Miss D. He called up -- she called up Miss D?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 | Q And she provided the names to Miss D?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 | Q Now let's go back. Were you recording that call?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q You had that call on monitor so you have an exact
- 10 transcript of it?
- 11 A Not a final transcript. We have a transcript, yes.
- 12 Q Oh, you have a recording of it?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Okay. And you've made a transcript, but it has yet to be
- 15 verified?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 | Q Okay. In that, have you listened to that call?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 | Q Were you present with her when the call was made?
- 20 A No.
- 21 | Q Okay. In that call, did Miss D ask Nevine why she was
- 22 giving her those names?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 | Q Did Miss, did Nevine say that she knew?
- 25 A She said she didn't know.

- 1 Q And she had no idea why she was supposed to give those
- 2 names?
- 3 MR. SEVERO: Objection, Your Honor.
- 4 THE COURT: I'm sorry?
- 5 MR. SEVERO: I object to the, that, the phrasing of
- 6 that question.
- 7 THE COURT: Repeat the question.
- 8 MR. SWIFT: I asked so she had no idea?
- 9 THE WITNESS: She said she didn't know.
- 10 THE COURT: Objection overruled.
- 11 BY MR. SWIFT:
- 12 Q Now, in fact, you listened, you testified about a lot of
- 13 | phone calls that you'd listened to, correct?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 | Q And in some of -- one -- at least one of those phone calls
- 16 | you did listen to the defendant and Sherifi talk about
- 17 | violence, didn't you?
- 18 A Early, yes.
- 19 Q And when she talked about the legal justifications,
- 20 | perhaps, for jihad, fighting in his own country or something
- 21 | like that, correct?
- 22 A I don't know the exact context, but they were talking about
- 23 | violence against others.
- 24 Q And, and she was against it?
- 25 A Yes, at that time.

- 1 | Q Absolutely. She said it was against God?
- 2 MR. SEVERO: Objection, Your Honor, to testifying.
- 3 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 4 THE WITNESS: No. I don't know what she said exactly.
- 5 | She was against the violence, yes.
- 6 BY MR. SWIFT:
- 7 | Q Okay. On -- prior to the January 2nd meeting, did the
- 8 defendant visit with Sherifi on December 31st?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Okay. In that one did the, was that where she was directed
- 11 | to go pick up things from Scobin and from Miss D?
- 12 A On the 31st?
- 13 Q On the 31st. Did she receive directions on what to do?
- 14 A I don't recall.
- 15 Q Well, from your investigation, did she meet on January 2nd
- 16 | with Scobin at her own initiative or was she directed to do
- 17 | that, if you know?
- 18 A I don't know.
- 19 Q Now on January 2nd, going to that date, after she'd met
- 20 | with Miss D, she went into the Hanover Detention Facility?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q Did the -- during the course of the conversation you heard
- 23 | writing or indicated that writing was being, taking place, is
- 24 | that correct?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 | Q Now you were able to, you were recording the, what, you had
- 2 | a monitor in there that you were recording, correct?
- 3 A Audio, yes.
- 4 | Q Audio. Were you able to take video?
- 5 A No.
- 6 Q So you weren't able to see what the notes were?
- 7 A No.
- 8 Q Did you ask when you interviewed her, the defendant, about
- 9 whether she, what was in the notes?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Did she indicate that they were in her notebook?
- 12 A She indicated that they would be in her car.
- 13 | Q Okay. That she had a notebook in the car, is that correct?
- 14 A She indicated they'd be in her car.
- 15 | Q Did you find a notebook in her car? Have you searched --
- 16 | are you aware whether the FBI searched her car?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 | Q Did you find a notebook?
- 19 A There was a notebook.
- 20 | Q Did you go through the notebook?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 | Q Did you find any notes in there?
- 23 A There were a lot of notes in that notebook.
- 24 | Q Were any of the notes words to the effect of, "Are you
- 25 getting me in trouble, " or something like that?

- 1 A Not that I can recall.
- 2 Q Was there anything in the part that says, "Is this illegal?
- 3 Are you putting me in danger?"
- 4 A Not that I can recall.
- 5 Q Did you find anything that you thought might have been
- 6 notes from that day?
- 7 A I don't recall.
- 8 Q But you do have the notebook?
- 9 A We have the notebook, yes.
- 10 Q Now with regards to the jewelry, did you listen to
- 11 | conversations between Scobin and the defendant? Did you have
- 12 | the ability to have surveillance on the phone calls not made
- 13 from the prison?
- 14 A No.
- 15 Q Have you been able to obtain the e-mails between them or
- 16 | text messages?
- 17 A Not yet, no.
- 18 | Q Were you aware that there were discussions in that case
- 19 | regarding the family's need for money?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 | Q Multiple discussions not having to do with these witnesses,
- 22 | correct?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 | Q That they were supposed to supply -- they had lots of
- 25 debts, correct?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 | Q The father had cancer?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 | Q And, in fact, Sherifi was, asked the defendant for money
- 5 | almost from the onset, didn't he?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 | Q And, and his indication was many needs of the family,
- 8 | correct?
- 9 A He indicated multiple needs for the money, not just his
- 10 family.
- 11 | Q Now this individual that you, those pictures shown to her
- 12 of one individual. And that's a bad question. Withdrawn.
- On January 2nd, a picture was shown by Miss D to the
- 14 defendant?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q That person had been a witness in this trial or -- excuse
- 17 | me -- in the earlier trial?
- 18 A The person in the photo?
- 19 0 Yes.
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 | Q Did that person reside in the State of North Carolina or
- 22 not?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 | Q To your knowledge, was the defendant ever shown any other
- 25 | pictures of persons who didn't reside in the State of North

- 1 | Carolina?
- 2 A Photographs?
- 3 Q Yes.
- 4 A Not that I know of.
- 5 Q During your investigation, you certainly uncovered evidence
- 6 | that you've testified here today that the defendant acted as a
- 7 | courier for Mr. Sherifi, correct?
- 8 A "Acted as a courier"? What do you mean?
- 9 | Q She ferried messages for him to and from the prison,
- 10 | correct, or the Hanover Detention Facility?
- 11 A She traveled with messages back and forth?
- 12 0 Yes.
- 13 A I'm not sure how to answer that.
- 14 Q Well, she brought him a photo?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q She took back a message regarding the photo?
- 17 | A Yes.
- 18 | Q She delivered money for him?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q She met with Miss D?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q She provided Miss D names?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q All at his direction?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 | Q You never heard her express any animosity toward any of
- 2 | these witnesses, did you?
- 3 A I did.
- 4 | Q You did? What animosities?
- 5 A She in one phone call called informants "low lifes."
- 6 0 When was that?
- 7 A I cannot recall the exact date.
- 8 Q Did she say they should be killed?
- 9 A No.
- 10 Q To your knowledge, did she play any role in the selection
- 11 process of who would be targeted other than delivering the
- 12 | information?
- 13 A No, I don't believe so.
- 14 | Q During that meeting on January 2nd, you've listened to the
- 15 trans, or to the transcript of it, is that correct?
- 16 A Which --
- 17 | Q Well, excuse me. The recording.
- 18 A Which meeting?
- 19 | Q The meeting between Sherifi and Nevine, the prison visit.
- 20 A I have listened to it, yes.
- 21 | Q During that, during the visit, do you hear her, him saying
- 22 to her words to the effect of, "You have to trust me and I'll
- 23 | trust you, " or words like that?
- 24 A Yes. He said that very closely after she said, "She was
- 25 | talking too much."

- 1 | Q Did she ask what was she talking about? Why was she saying
- 2 | those things?
- 3 A Did she ask Mr. Sherifi why she was saying things?
- 4 Q Yes.
- 5 A No. She was mostly doing writing and having him look at
- 6 writing.
- 7 | Q You're sure she didn't say, "Why is she saying those
- 8 things?"
- 9 A I'm sure.
- 10 Q Okay. Now on this last part where the photos of the, the,
- 11 | photos that depicted that an execution or a killing had taken
- 12 | place, those were -- when was Mr. Sherifi informed that those
- 13 | photos existed?
- 14 A I believe late on the evening of the 19th.
- 15 | Q Okay. Now the defendant Nevine was scheduled to come visit
- 16 | him on January 21st to pick up all these records, correct?
- 17 | A Yes.
- 18 Q It's well known he, they had previously agreed on that?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 | Q And she had been able to bring a picture in without being
- 21 | searched before, correct?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 | Q But he didn't want her bringing it in. He didn't direct
- 24 | that she bring it in?
- 25 A He was advised the photo would be ready on Sunday, on the

- 1 22nd.
- 2 | Q Did he make any attempt to delay her visit?
- 3 A I don't know.
- 4 Q He had his brother bring in the photo, correct?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Is there any evidence that she ever saw the photo?
- 7 A No.
- 8 Q Is there any evidence that she made any decisions in this
- 9 plot, that she was the leader of this plot in any way?
- 10 A Hysen Sherifi was the leader. She assisted.
- 11 | Q At all stages she was instructed by Hysen Sherifi as to
- 12 | what to do?
- 13 A She was instructed numerous times what to do, yes.
- 14 Q Is there any evidence whatsoever that absent having met
- 15 Hysen Sherifi that she would have come up with an independent
- 16 plan to take action against --
- 17 MR. SEVERO: Objection.
- 18 BY MR. SWIFT:
- 19 Q -- any witnesses --
- 20 MR. SEVERO: I'm sorry. Go ahead. Finish.
- 21 BY MR. SWIFT:
- 22 | Q -- against any witnesses in the previous case?
- MR. SEVERO: Object, Your Honor.
- 24 THE COURT: Overruled.
- THE WITNESS: I don't think so.

- 1 MR. SWIFT: I have no further questions.
- 2 BY MR. SWIFT:
- 3 Q Thank you.
- 4 MR. SEVERO: One moment, Your Honor.
- 5 (Pause)
- 6 MR. SEVERO: Nothing further, Your Honor.
- 7 BY THE COURT:
- 8 Q I had a question, Agent, with respect to the, to the note
- 9 | that was exchanged in the automobile with CS 2. I think the
- 10 note said, "Pic confirmed," is that correct?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q And that was contained in a, in a dominoes box?
- 13 A It was given to CS 2, the note was. The box was given by
- 14 Ms. Elshiekh. In the box were dominoes and an envelope of
- 15 money. She then wrote on the note, "Pic confirmed" on the
- 16 back.
- 17 Q Okay. My -- the, the, the direction of my question
- 18 | was going to be who wrote the note.
- 19 A Ms. Elshiekh.
- 20 Q Okay. And you know that because?
- 21 A She wrote it in the presence of CS 2.
- 22 | O Okay.
- 23 THE COURT: Are there any further questions from
- 24 | counsel with respect to this witness?
- MR. SWIFT: Uh --

- THE COURT: Go ahead.

 BY MR. SWIFT:
- 3 Q In light of your, in light of those questions, were you
- 4 | able to monitor or listen to the conversation that was
- 5 occurring inside the car?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q So you were able to hear that despite the fact that it was
- 8 | happening inside the car, is that correct?
- 9 A I'm confused by your question.
- 10 | Q You, you were able to record all things that were said
- 11 | inside that car?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 MR. SWIFT: No further questions.
- 14 THE COURT: Mr. Severo?
- MR. SEVERO: No, nothing further, Your Honor.
- 16 THE COURT: Thank you, Agent. You can step down.
- 17 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 18 THE COURT: Is there anything further from the
- 19 Government with respect to probable cause?
- MR. SEVERO: No, Your Honor.
- 21 THE COURT: Is there anything from the defendant with
- 22 respect to probable cause?
- MR. SWIFT: Nothing further, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: Okay. Now, Mr. Swift, are you, are you
- 25 prepared at this time to produce witnesses with respect to the

```
1
    detention hearing?
 2
             MR. SWIFT:
                         We are.
             THE COURT:
                         Okay. Would you like to -- I really don't
 3
    have a preference as to who goes first.
 4
 5
             Does the Government have any witnesses with respect to
    detention other than the testimony, I assume, you would rely on
 6
 7
    from the agent?
             MR. SEVERO: Yes, Your Honor. May I have just one
 8
    moment to ask a question?
 9
10
         (Pause)
11
             MR. SEVERO: Your Honor, we wouldn't be presenting any
    evidence with respect to detention at this time --
12
13
             THE COURT: Okay.
             MR. SEVERO: -- except, I think, as previously has
14
15
    been discussed about the Pretrial report.
16
             THE COURT: Okay. Now we'll get -- I don't know if
17
    now is a, is a, the best way to discuss the Pretrial report.
    usually do it after the showing by the parties and ask if
18
19
    there's anything in the Pretrial report that they want --
             MR. SEVERO: Well, then, we'll wait until that time,
20
    Your Honor.
21
22
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
23
             MS. MORENO: We would prefer that, Your Honor.
             THE COURT: Okay.
24
25
             MS. MORENO:
                          Thank you.
```

```
THE COURT: Are you prepared at this time to put on
 1
    witnesses for detention?
 2
             MS. MORENO: Your Honor, as, as I indicated before, we
 3
    could do that. I wanted to proffer first but if the Court
 4
    would like to hear from the witness --
 5
             THE COURT: However, however you wish to proceed.
 6
 7
             Is there any objection from the Government about,
    about proffers?
 8
 9
             MR. SEVERO: No, Your Honor.
10
             THE COURT: Okay.
             However you wish to proceed, Ms. Moreno.
11
             MS. MORENO: Thank you, Your Honor.
12
             If, if it please the Court, I'm going to proffer based
13
    on the nearly 50 character letters that the Court, hopefully,
14
15
    has reviewed.
                         Yes, ma'am.
16
             THE COURT:
17
             MS. MORENO: And if I may approach, I have marked as
18
    Defendant's Exhibit 1 Ms. Elshiekh's resumé, a copy of which
    has already been provided to the Government, and we would like
19
    the Court to review that as well, if I --
20
             THE COURT: All right.
21
22
             MS. MORENO: -- may approach.
23
                         Any objection from the Government?
             THE COURT:
             MR. SEVERO: No, Your Honor.
24
25
             THE COURT: Would the, would the defense want to move
```

```
1
    into as exhibits the letters that I've, I received?
             MS. MORENO: Defense Exhibit 1.
 2
             THE COURT: And how about Defense Exhibit 2, is the
 3
    letters that you proffered, or you provided to the Court?
 4
 5
             MS. MORENO: Thank you so much.
 6
             THE COURT: Any objection from the Government with
 7
    respect to the letters?
             MR. SEVERO: No, Your Honor.
 8
 9
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
                          I guess they'll have to comply with the
10
             MR. SEVERO:
11
    Rule about -- I'm sure there's certain information in --
12
             THE COURT: Right, right.
             MS. MORENO: Which is one of the reasons we didn't
13
    file them before.
14
15
             THE COURT: Correct.
             MS. MORENO: Thank you.
16
17
             THE COURT: Thank you.
18
             MS. MORENO: Your Honor, may I stand?
19
             THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.
20
             MS. MORENO:
                          Thank you.
             And with respect to those letters, Your Honor, I would
21
    expect the Court to put them under seal subject to redaction.
22
23
    Would that be the Court's preference?
             THE COURT: How do we do --
24
        (Court confers with staff)
25
```

THE COURT: The usual course, I'm told, is, is that while the exhibit list will be part of the docket and available for public viewing, the actual exhibits will not be.

MS. MORENO: Thank you, Your Honor.

Your Honor, I'm going to --

THE COURT: All right.

Go ahead, I'm sorry.

2.2

MS. MORENO: Thank you.

I'm going to first talk about Ms. Elshiekh's character as expressed from the community, which within a week produced 50, almost 50 character letters from a diverse array of people. We're asking the Court to take a step back now from the preliminary hearing and look at Ms. Elshiekh in the context of, of course, under the Bail Reform Act, a danger to the community and a flight risk, acknowledging that the Government has no presumption in this case.

The letters portray a person who is a selfless, compassionate humanitarian committed to the education of autistic children, of special education children. If the Court looks at Ms. Elshiekh's resumé, she was currently employed at the Sterling Montessori Academy as a special ed teacher upon her arrest and had been so employed for the previous nine years. The letters, again which are written from diverse sources, including fellow teachers, students who had her when they were in the second grade, Rhodes scholars, professor

emerituses, all attest to the character of Nevine Elshiekh as a peaceful, compassionate person of the utmost integrity, a person who works with troubled youth, a person who works at diverse, inter-faith forums with the Lutheran Church, with food programs, a person who I believe when I was thinking about what compassion really means I looked at Plato and Plato said, "Be kind for everyone you meet is fighting a harder battle," and I believe that Nevine Elshiekh took that to heart. The letters attest to her personal kindness and mentoring not only children, but mentoring other teachers, working with the disabled, a person who was environmentally conscious and making her mosque go green. I actually had never heard that before.

Her importance and significance to the community, which is something we urge the Court to consider, is a community where she's been living for the last 44 years.

Ms. Elshiekh is an American citizen and as both the, her resumé and the probation report attest, she has lived in this community for 44 years. She's employed. She owns property here and you're going to hear from her father in a moment, Your Honor, with respect to those issues.

She takes care of her parents and has been living with them and attending to their needs and helping them. This is someone who poses absolutely no danger to the community and is no flight risk and we would say that while apparently in the probation report it is indicated that the FBI did not find her

passport, we certainly would be willing to surrender it upon finding it, ourselves.

A review of Ms. Elshiekh's resumé, Your Honor, just shows a profound depth of commitment to the community from the most basic level. But overriding all of that, let us not forget that she is a teacher and a teacher of the most fragile children who even in previous years have come forward to write letters and attest to her integrity and to her character. In no manner from those who've known her, from friends who have just met her and have known her for a few years to persons who wrote on her behalf who've known her for the last 40 years is there any shred of evidence that Nevine Elshiekh poses a danger to the community or would not live up to the obligations of being in court when she was supposed to be in court.

With respect to giving assurances to the Court regarding releasing Ms. Elshiekh, I would like to call up -- first, I would like to acknowledge to the Court that Ms. Elshiekh has numerous family members in the court, including her father, her mother, her sister, and cousins.

And I would like to bring to the Court's attention

Dr. Aly Elshiekh, who is Ms. Nevine Elshiekh's father and with

whom she has been living with. And before he comes to the

stand, I would proffer to the Court as follows: That

Dr. Elshiekh is a professor emeritus from North Carolina State.

He has three degrees from MIT, including a Ph.D. in mechanical

```
engineering. He has been an American citizen since 1974.
 1
    married 54 years to his wife with whom he resides and with whom
 2
    Nevine resides.
                     He taught at North Carolina State from 1968 to
 3
    2000 and his career span teaching nearly 5,000 students and
 4
    graduating about 30 Ph.D.s. Her father is a pivotal member of
 5
 6
    the community, one of the first, Your Honor, to work on the
 7
    vehicle to go to Mars, the Mars Mission Research Center.
    resumé is 11 pages long, but I think the Court will want to
 8
    hear from Dr. Elshiekh, who will assure the Court that if
 9
    released into his custody Nevine will make all of the court
10
11
    appearances, will pose no danger whatsoever to the Court.
             If I may bring him forward.
12
             THE COURT: Yes, ma'am...
13
             MS. MORENO: And does the Court wish him to take the
14
15
    stand, or can he sit here?
                                How --
             THE COURT:
                         Take the stand and --
16
17
             MS. MORENO: And be sworn?
18
             THE COURT: -- be sworn and testify.
             THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: State your name.
19
             DR. ELSHIEKH: My name is Aly Elshiekh, and I am a
20
    professor emeritus from North Carolina State University. And
21
    I'm 80 years old.
22
23
                DR. ALY ELSHIEKH, DEFENSE WITNESS, SWORN
             THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: You may take the witness stand.
24
25
             MS. MORENO: May it please the Court?
```

- 1 THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.
- 2 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 3 BY MS. MORENO:
- 4 Q Dr. Elshiekh, good morning.
- 5 A Good morning.
- 6 Q And you are Nevine's father?
- 7 A Yes, ma'am.
- 8 Q Was there anything I left out in your illustrious resumé
- 9 that you would want the Court to know about you personally,
- 10 | sir?
- 11 A I think the, what you left, what I left also from my resumé
- 12 | is the work which I did behind the scene through my advisees,
- 13 | who I advise every year, and the people who I, whom I corrected
- 14 | their pasts to go back to life. I had student who were on
- 15 drugs, who were alcoholic. Later on, when they graduated they
- 16 | had problem with their lives and they brought me in to solve
- 17 | this problem to them and I did at least, every year I taught at
- 18 | State I had two or three students of that nature whom I brought
- 19 | them to be productive and now they are first-class citizen
- 20 | working for this country to make it as good as it is now.
- 21 | Q And, Dr. Elshiekh, you became a citizen when?
- 22 A In 1974.
- 23 | Q And you decided to stay in this country why, sir?
- 24 A Well, let me tell you a little story here, if, if Your
- 25 | Honor will permit me to do so.

When I came to, to North Carolina State University after graduating at MIT back in '65, 1965, I had a commitment to work some for the Egyptian Government because Egyptian Government was the one who gave me a scholarship to come and study in, in the U. S. And actually, before that, they first send me to Russia and then I hated it so much that I, that I didn't stay but eight months there and then I came, I transferred my, my scholarship to, to the State and went to MIT and graduated and left to Egypt. Stayed three years and then I was invited by North Carolina State to come as a visiting lecturer.

When I got my citizenship I had two choices. North

Carolina State wanted me and they (indiscernible) what exam

they had wanted me. And I had to weigh the situation. Both

sides, the work was good, but I chose and, by the way, the,

the, the decision was my wife and I only. The kids were very

young. Nina was 12 and Nevine was about 7.

So the decision was us and one of the most weighing factors to make us make our mind is that our love for the American dream, our love for the judicial system of this country. We trusted it. We didn't trust it back in Egypt. At that time there was no way to, to really set, be free, okay? We liked the freedom which we enjoyed in this country, we liked the democratic system which are running this country, and really, one of the way is that we had, really, a truly free society and a truly judicial system.

- 1 | Q Let me ask you this: So now your daughter is in the throes
- 2 of the American judicial system.
- 3 A Yes, ma'am.
- 4 Q And what the Court wants to know is what your faithfulness
- 5 and loyalty still is to the system that now your, your daughter
- 6 is caught up with?
- 7 A I am very -- I trust the system a hundred percent --
- 8 Q Okay.
- 9 A -- maybe 110 percent --
- 10 Q Okay.
- 11 A -- okay? I know that the system would be fair to her. If
- 12 | she did wrong, she will be judged for that. If she was conned,
- 13 I hope the system will take care of that, will take that in
- 14 | consideration, and I am pretty sure that she will see her fair
- 15 day in the court.
- 16 | Q And if the Court releases Nevine into your custody, would
- 17 | she go back to your home?
- 18 | A She would come back to my home and I'll be a hundred
- 19 percent responsible for her to make her appearances whenever
- 20 | she's needed, anyplace she's needed.
- 21 | Q Okay. And do you have any doubt about that, whatsoever?
- 22 A I have no doubt, whatsoever.
- 23 Q And if the Court decides that there might be some
- 24 | conditions of bail that are appropriate in this case, are you
- 25 prepared to offer that as well to the Court and could you

- 1 explain to the Court what that is?
- 2 A Well, we have a -- we, we were fortunate working in the
- 3 U. S., okay? I didn't make any money during teaching, but I
- 4 did it helping the industry and consulting and then in
- 5 | courtrooms as a professional witness and so on and so forth.
- We have a house on Emerald Isle, North Carolina, which we
- 7 pay taxes for, for close for a million dollar and would be --
- 8 and it has no loan on it, no collateral loans on it -- and I
- 9 | would be glad to put that right upfront.
- 10 Q To assure your --
- 11 A To assure that, that we, we will abide by whatever the
- 12 Court deems.
- MS. MORENO: May I have a moment, Your Honor?
- 14 THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.
- 15 (Pause)
- 16 BY MS. MORENO:
- 17 | Q If you find out -- if you can -- if you find Nevine's
- 18 passport anywhere, can you assure the Court that you would
- 19 | immediately either turn it over to the lawyers or turn it into
- 20 | the Court?
- 21 A Well, they show the passport was mentioned two times since
- 22 | I came back from Egypt because I came after this whole
- 23 | situation occurred and my daughter, my older daughter, told me
- 24 | that someone from the FBI took the passport.
- 25 Q Okay. So you don't have any --

- 1 A But if we find it, okay, I'll be delighted to surrender it
- 2 | to anybody, to any authority who will deem, okay, and I will be
- 3 responsible that Nevine will never leave the country --
- 4 Q Okay.
- 5 A -- without court permission.
- 6 Q Okay. Excuse me.
- 7 Thank you so much, Dr. Elshiekh. I don't know if the
- 8 Government has any questions.
- 9 THE COURT: Mr. Severo?
- 10 MR. SEVERO: Just several.
- 11 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 12 BY MR. SEVERO:
- 13 | Q Doctor, was she live, was Nevine living at your house in
- 14 Novem, in October --
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 0 -- to the time of her arrest?
- 17 | A Yes.
- 18 | Q And she wasn't living anywhere else, just there, is that
- 19 | correct?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 | Q All right. And in your home do you have a computer?
- 22 A I have, well, I have three. I have my personal computer
- 23 and she had a couple of computer and all of them was taken by
- 24 the FBI.
- 25 Q Okay. And access to a phone?

- 1 A Yes. We have a few iPhones were taken, were taken also by,
- 2 by the system.
- 3 0 And --
- 4 A That's my understanding. I was not here.
- 5 Q Yes, sir.
- 6 And when -- you mentioned that you went on, you were on a
- 7 trip to Egypt, is that what you --
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And do you have family in Egypt?
- 10 A I have cousins and nephews. I don't have any sisters or
- 11 brother. They all passed away.
- 12 Q Okay. And you went over there, I assuming, to visit them?
- 13 A I went to give a couple of lectures at the Institute of
- 14 Higher Education.
- 15 | Q All right. Did you stay with --
- 16 A And I visited, I visited my, my, my relatives, or they came
- 17 and visited me.
- 18 | Q Okay. And since Nevine has been arrested have you spoken
- 19 | with her?
- 20 A I spoke with her on the phone a couple of times --
- 21 | Q Okay.
- 22 | A -- and I --
- 23 | Q Did --
- 24 A -- visited her yesterday at the Hanover --
- 25 Q I'm sorry.

- 1 A -- County Detention Center.
- 2 Q Okay. And did you speak with her? You said --
- 3 A In general terms, yes.
- 4 | Q Did you ask her why she had been charged with murdering to
- 5 hire someone?
- 6 A I never did.
- 7 | O You never did?
- 8 A No.
- 9 | Q Did you not want to find out what --
- 10 A I didn't want to speak about the case until I hear. I
- 11 | would probably discuss it now freely since I know what's, since
- 12 I heard the Government side.
- 13 | Q Who else lives in your home besides yourself, sir?
- 14 A My wife and I --
- 15 Q And --
- 16 A -- and Nevine. And two pets.
- 17 Q Two pets?
- 18 | And --
- 19 MR. SEVERO: May I have one second, Your Honor?
- 20 THE COURT: Yes.
- 21 (Pause)
- 22 BY MR. SEVERO:
- 23 Q If I understand correctly, Doctor, you all have an
- 24 | apartment over in Egypt?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 | Q And Nevine was over in Egypt in the summer, is that right?
- 2 A Was there for two weeks. She was going to attend a
- 3 wedding.
- 4 Q Okay. And was that a family wedding?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q And she went to that, didn't she?
- 7 A She went there. She did not stay in the apartment because
- 8 | it's under construction.
- 9 Q Did she stay with family? She stayed with family?
- 10 A She stayed with family.
- 11 MR. SEVERO: One more moment, Your Honor. I
- 12 apologize.
- 13 (Pause)
- MR. SEVERO: Nothing further, Your Honor.
- MS. MORENO: May I? May I just briefly, Your Honor?
- 16 THE COURT: Sure.
- 17 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 18 BY MS. MORENO:
- 19 Q Would you be willing to have your phone communications and
- 20 | your Internet communications monitored while Nevine stays with
- 21 you?
- 22 A Yes.
- MS. MORENO: Nothing further.
- 24 BY THE COURT:
- 25 Q Doctor, has anyone spoken to you about the obligations of

- 1 being a third-party custodian?
- 2 A No.
- 3 | Q Okay. Do you have a land line in, in your home?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 | Q And tell me, are you employed currently?
- 6 A Right now, I have a restaurant. I'm running a restaurant
- 7 | in Raleigh.
- 8 Q Tell me what your work hours are insofar as how, how often
- 9 during the week you are outside the home.
- 10 A The restaurant is about five minutes away from the house
- 11 and assuming that if Nevine was given to my custody, the, the
- 12 | Court will allow her to go back to work where she misses her
- 13 | kids and the kids also misses her, her students, special
- 14 education, and if needed, I will go stay with her and I, in the
- 15 | school. I will guard her 24 hours.
- 16 | Q Sure. But, but in the home, who is home during the day and
- 17 | how often --
- 18 A My wife.
- 19 | Q And she's there all day?
- 20 A All the time. My wife is a homemaker, nothing, nothing
- 21 else.
- 22 Q And it's you that's running the restaurant?
- 23 A I am the one who's running the restaurant.
- 24 Q Are there any firearms in the home?
- 25 A No, never owned a firearm. Never shot a gun or a pistol.

THE COURT: All right. Is there any further 1 2 questioning? 3 MR. SEVERO: Just two, briefly. RECROSS EXAMINATION 4 BY MR. SEVERO: 5 6 Does your wife work at the restaurant some, too? 7 A little bit. Q And all the times that you've mentioned that your wife was 8 9 home, she would have been home those times in December and January of 2012? 10 11 Yes. 12 MR. SEVERO: Nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: Ms. Moreno or Mr. Swift? 13 MS. MORENO: Your Honor --14 15 MR. SWIFT: No, Your Honor. MS. MORENO: Well, Mr. Swift is going to argue, Your 16 17 Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Whoever wants to lead off, then, in closing arguments. 19 MS. MORENO: May we -- may Dr. Elshiekh return to his 20 21 seat? 22 THE COURT: Yes, I'm sorry. 23 You may step down. THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. 24 25 THE COURT: Thank you.

81

```
MR. SWIFT: If it please this Court:
 1
 2
             THE COURT:
                         Yes, sir.
                         I'm going to address, generally, the
 3
             MR. SWIFT:
    factors in 18 U.S.C. 13 -- 3142 --
 4
 5
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
             MR. SWIFT: -- beginning with an outline and I'll
 6
 7
    agree the Court, or start with that.
             The Court has one of, I believe, three decisions.
 8
                                                                 Ι
    don't believe the temporary detention is at all at issue here
 9
    as one of the three, as one of the four options. There is no
10
11
    cause for temporary detention.
             So we have options for the Court to release on
12
13
    personal recognizance or upon execution of an unsecured
    appearance bond, or to release on condition or combination of
14
15
    conditions under Subsection (c) of the, of the statute or to
16
    detain under Subsection (e).
             Start with in this case, this is not one of those
17
18
    cases, although I think sometimes people think that this
19
    particular crime would be when, where the Government gets a
20
    rebuttable presumption that detention is necessary in this
    case. In fact, and I will be handing the case up because I
21
    think it, it's helpful to the Court --
22
23
             THE COURT: I may already have this. I think I know
    where you're going, where the --
24
25
             MR. SWIFT: Louisiana case?
```

```
Got it.
 1
             THE COURT:
 2
             MR. SWIFT:
                          You got it, too.
             THE COURT:
                                 In fact, yeah.
 3
                          Yeah.
                                                 It's --
             MR. SWIFT:
                          So --
 4
             THE COURT:
                          The, the comment from counsel is --
 5
 6
             MR. SWIFT:
                          United --
             THE COURT: -- "Well, it should be a presumption
 7
    case, " and then the Court says, "Congress speak" --
 8
             MR. SWIFT:
                          That --
 9
             THE COURT: -- "and they are supposed to speak and
10
11
    they didn't speak."
12
             MR. SWIFT: And they didn't. That's exactly the
13
    comment of the court.
             So that brings us next -- and I appreciate your
14
15
    having, your careful consideration even prior to this hearing
    and thinking it through. Clear -- the, the, the standard here,
16
17
    we have two questions. The first question is, in this one, in
18
    seeking pre-trial detention the Government then has the burden
19
    and they have to establish risk of flight by a preponderance of
20
    the evidence and dangerousness to the community by clear and
    convincing evidence.
21
2.2
             The Supreme Court has said that clear and convincing
23
    evidence is defined as "evidence which produces in the mind of
    Your Honor a firm belief or conviction as to the truth of the
24
25
    allegations sought to be established, evidence so clear, direct
```

weighty, and convincing as to enable the finder of fact to come
to a clear conviction, without hesitancy, of the truth of the
precise facts at issue." And that's from Cruzan versus

Director of Missouri Department of Health, 497 U.S. 261, and
the quote's from 285, decided in 1990.

And I'll direct my first part to dangerousness to the community. In this particular case, I think not only the charge, which the probation officer seemed to take into account, but nothing more on that, it is a serious crime. It is a crime of violence. It's been defined as such and it is a serious crime. However, in evaluating future dangerousness in this one, the Court needs to take a look at the role of the defendant in this crime.

This is a conspiracy crime, which involve multiple parties, and we're not here today and we're not going to be arguing whether there's a presumption in this part, but we're not here today to decide, as Your Honor pointed out, whether she's guilty or innocent. But what we do have in the role in this is, as the agent testified, what she did -- whether it's knowing or unknowing will be established sometime in the future -- but what she did was at the direction of Mr. Sherifi, Hysen Sherifi. She didn't have any role in picking who would be attacked. She didn't have any role in deciding the methodology. She had no role in selecting the people. She had no role other than to take money and information to different

people. In fact, if we remove Hysen Sherifi from the conspiracy, there's no evidence that there ever would have been a conspiracy.

And so in looking at clear and convincing evidence,
Your Honor, that there's a future risk based on this crime, one
has to -- the only way to suppose that she will pose a threat
is that he can now re-engage with her because that's what, for
instance, put together the chemicals that the Government
alleges was this potential bomb. It's an essential element.
Without it, there's no clear and convincing evidence that she
would commit a future crime or pose a future danger. And
that's what we're looking at here today, not is she guilty
because we're not about pre-trial punishment. We're about
future dangerousness or dangerousness to the community. And
absent his participation, her role in this case does not
indicate that she would execute a threat.

Moreover, there's some, certainly, conditions on ability to communicate that this Court can put in place to prevent any communication. It can direct on pain of forfeiture that she's not to contact any witness, that she's not to have any contact with parties who've been involved in the past cases, that she's not to have or even more restrictive conduct and you have to find by clear and convincing evidence that that would not be sufficient.

Additionally, her father has stood as surety for these

things and he is willing to put up -- and I think that this is important -- because as conditions he's willing to put up his home of considerable value to guarantee not only her presence, but her compliance with this Court's conditions, whatever those may be.

And, in fact, looking at those same sort of factors in the <u>Lafayette</u> case, the court found that at least for one of the defendants, or, actually, for both of the defendants, that there was no clear and convincing evidence despite, arguably, far more involvement in the crime by both defendants than Ms. Sherifi or -- excuse me -- Ms. Elshiekh in this case, that there was not clear and convincing evidence of a potential to commit further misconduct or be a threat to the community.

Now we move to the second part, flight. The

Government in addressing -- and I anticipate that we're going

to talk some about flight. I think the most important thing

for this Court to consider in this is technology has given Your

Honor a great many more options these days than even existed in

1997. The GPS monitor has proven itself to be extraordinarily

a factor.

In this case, in order to go to any contacts outside the United States it is absolutely required that the defendant board an airplane or a ship and travel across the ocean. A GPS monitor that monitors when you leave the home would immediately, along with a house and surety, assure the Court of

her presence. In fact, she is a lifetime citizen of this country, has extraordinary ties to the community, and has no criminal history.

Given all of those factors, there is no way that the Government can show by a preponderance of the evidence that she would not be present for each hearing. What they're -- their argument is she has traveled abroad, that she has family abroad. Under that standard, under preponderance of an evidence, that would mean that any defendant that had family overseas is simply held over and that's not appropriate and not what Congress considered. And in this particular case there are easily factors that the Court can utilize to hold her under conditions and those same factors can be utilized to address each of the concerns about future dangerousness in a tailored order that permits her to be with her parents while trial is pending.

Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

All right, Mr. Severo.

MR. SEVERO: Your Honor, I would start off by saying there is strong evidence of this, in this case of her role. When defense counsel says that she didn't do much, she just took the picture to the people and got it confirmed, to start with, and then paid the money that started all this. When defense counsel talks about that the threat has been

eliminated, the fact that she would act as a conduit, we contend, makes her more dangerous in the fact that she still would be able, if out, to be a conduit.

The -- in this case, this was not a completed crime. Those witnesses are still available to be killed and the desire on the part of these parties to kill them is just as strong, if not stronger. You start with the pending appeal. You start with the trial of the co-defendant. And so that still exists and the fact that this, it's now been revealed to them that one of the people in here took part in this case.

So they, again, have a strong motivation to eliminate that person.

Additionally, Your Honor, in this case not only do you have those people who are still at risk, you now have two other people who are at risk and through the course of discovery and investigation and stuff, they're going to get all of the names and addresses of those.

One of the things, also, Your Honor, in this case, this defendant used all of the social mediums available to take part in this conspiracy, the Internet, Twitter, telephone, mail, and the Court. I would contend, there's no appropriate person to monitor. The fact that she uses, she even used those, are a part of the discussion about pawning the things that raised the \$5,000 to complete the, to the complete the payment. She conducted one of those conversations while at

work in the school.

Again, Your Honor, she used -- and this is no besmirch of her family -- but she felt comfortable using her residence and taking part in this conspiracy at her residence. The day that she drives down to take the photographs and give the money, she leaves from her house. Returns to her house. Meets in a coffee shop in a public place with a co-conspirator to exchange the items that are going to be pawned for the additional money. Receives the additional money.

So she's willing to engage out in the public, additionally, Your Honor. And then the letters and one of the letters that involved the Twitter page was mailed from her home.

So I would contend, Your Honor, that, that, first off, that her father's not an appropriate third-party custodian but if, because of his inability to be able to monitor all of those different mediums, which she employed during all of this and would continue to have access to employ the impossible. And it's no -- it should be no comfort to the fact that Mr. Sherifi is incarcerated because she was able to do all of this besides that and finding ways to do three-way calls, change of PIN numbers, mail that was sent outside of the traditional jail facility, meeting with third parties.

THE COURT: How, how does that savviness with social media manifest itself into being a, a danger that's something,

something this Court should be concerned about if, if she is released?

MR. SEVERO: Your Honor, she used those mediums to further this conspiracy and so, therefore, and -- on -- she would be able to use those same mediums to further a -- addi -- puts those people in danger because she used those same mediums and being able to use those same mediums to further, further harm to each and every one of these witnesses.

THE COURT: I understand from Dr. Elshiekh's testimony, however, that, that many of those mediums have been removed from the home, is that correct?

MR. SEVERO: They, they may have been removed. I don't know if they've acquired them, again, but you, the Court would have to impose, then, the home would have no telephone, no computer, no Internet, and then, further, the Court would have to impose that her work would have no phone, no computer, no Internet, and then, further, the Court would have to impose that she doesn't go to anyplace, like a coffee shop, and further, the Court would have to impose that she doesn't go to anyplace that has a phone, an Internet, or a computer.

And -- and yeah. Then you'd have to impose a list of third-party people who wouldn't be able to meet with her like this other co-defendant did and then the party, the Court would have to impose a condition that she couldn't use the mail or receive any mail and the Court would have to impose that --

THE COURT: Why, why would I, why would I have to impose a condition like that against her?

MR. SEVERO: Well, Your Honor, I would contend to the Court to ensure that she wouldn't be able to use any of those mediums to further facilitate this crime. Because, because this is not a completed event. Those witnesses are still available to be killed. They haven't been killed. So they're still witnesses, still witnesses that the parties want killed. And now, not only do you have those witnesses, but you have two more witnesses for the parties to want to kill.

Your Honor, as it relates to risk of flight, this defendant was recently in a foreign country, stayed there for a period of time, stayed with family. And it's not simply that anybody who has any contact. It's the ability to be able to stay long periods of time with a family.

Additionally, the person that they would offer as a third-party custodian had been there and spent a good deal of time in there.

And so the Government would contend that she has strong ties to a outside country and additionally, has had recent contact with that place, being as recent as this summer.

THE COURT: But to Mr. Swift's point, you don't contend that simply because she's traveled internationally that, that that alone is dispositive to the risk of flight issue?

1 MR. SEVERO: No. 2 THE COURT: In that, in that case, we would all be, be under some form of restriction. 3 MR. SEVERO: No, I would -- yes, Your Honor. I would 4 not concede that that alone would be sufficient, in conjunction 5 6 with all the other things. 7 Additionally, Your Honor, in this case, as the Court knows, the defendant, the type of sentence that the defendant 8 is facing. And I would contend to the Court that when one is 9 facing that type of sentence, especially one that is not 10 11 accustomed to the judicial, to being incarcerated, there is an inherent risk of flight because of that. As sad as it is to 12 13 say, some people become accustomed to incarceration and don't mind it, but I would contend to the Court based upon the length 14 15 of the sentence and that, that that would again be a factor to consider as it relates to risk of flight. 16 17 May I have just one moment, Your Honor? 18 THE COURT: Yes, sir. (Pause) 19 MR. SEVERO: Nothing further, Your Honor. 20 MR. SWIFT: Briefly --21 2.2 THE COURT: Yes, sir. 23 MR. SWIFT: -- to address four points. One, the important part is who the defendant could 24 25 contact or how she could actually do something in this great

hypothetical. Although the Government hasn't proffered it, I
would suggest that the Court, before considering that she'll be
able to use any of these social mediums to contact the, any of
the defendants, that the lead is most likely under SAM, Special
Administrative Measure, with no contact, but it can easily be
directed that there will be no contact from her.

The other defendant, while incarcerated, simply there could be an order not to have contact and there won't be contact.

So those are easily fixed.

From her teaching and her background, she has no, even if she were inclined -- we argue that she's not -- but even if somehow she was magically inclined, who she would contact to effect these crimes is, again, you know, I guess, you know, those -- it's easy to run into hit men down at the local elementary school in the Government's idea here, and who you can just post a ad on Facebook and that'll come true, again subject to monitoring.

So I don't think that there's any real threat there.

With regards to the argument, the Government's last argument of facing a sentence, the Government turns, actually, this one statutorily simply has no bases. Actually, the Court is supposed to consider that she has not committed a crime before as a positive factor. In the Government's world, that's now a negative factor. She hadn't committed a crime,

1 | therefore, we should put her in pretrial. That's amazing.

Under these circumstances, I think that the Court can and will be able to form both assurances of her presence and assurances of, that no further acts will be taken and I take, in part, that there are extra -- that the Court's, in this case, directions -- and I know that sometimes there's questions on whether people will follow the Court's directions -- but in this case where the Court gives an order, it can also force a surety against that order. Conditions of release aren't so -- a surety is forfeited not only based on not appearing for trial. The failure to follow conditions, as this Court is well aware, may be cause for the forfeiture of a surety. And here, that would be an extraordinary blow to her family. Her family would be extraordinarily vigilant to protect the assets and to ensure and her own moral requirements not to report.

So that in this particular case, there's a significant ability to form, for this Court, conditions of release that will provide protection and don't violate clear and convincing evidence.

Does the Court have any questions for counsel?

Because I'd be happy to address them.

THE COURT: No, sir.

MR. SWIFT: Thank you.

MS. MORENO: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Now I have heard arguments on detention.

I have not heard closing arguments on probable cause. 1 2 Do the parties wish to be heard any further with respect to what's before the Court or --3 MR. SWIFT: We do not --4 THE COURT: Okay. 5 6 MR. SWIFT: -- believe that it's necessary to argue 7 probable cause and for our argument, this is fine. We submit on it. 8 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 Mr. Severo? 11 MR. SEVERO: Your Honor, I would contend there's very strong evidence that she took a role in each of the part. She 12 13 used an interstate facility by driving that car. money. She took the pictures and continued engaged in that and 14 15 it was payment to have "Treetop" kill one of the witnesses, or 16 at least one of the witnesses. So I contend there's very strong evidence to establish 17 18 probable cause. THE COURT: All right. It's about ten till --19 Mr. Swift or Ms. Moreno, do you want, do you want to 20 21 respond to that or -- it's up to you. MR. SWIFT: No, Your Honor. 22 23 THE COURT: It's ten till noon, seven till by my watch. Why don't we take a recess and meet back here at 1:30. 24

Would that be --

25

```
MS. MORENO: That's fine.
 1
 2
             THE COURT:
                         -- okay with everybody?
             MS. MORENO:
 3
                          Thank you.
             THE COURT: All right.
 4
             We're in recess till 1:30.
 5
             COURT SECURITY OFFICER: All rise. This Court is now
 6
 7
    in recess till 1:30.
         (Lunch recess from 11:52 a.m., until 1:33 p.m.)
 8
         (Call to Order of the Court)
 9
10
             THE COURT: All right. The defendant in this case has
11
    been charged by way of a criminal complaint. The allegation
12
    contained in that complaint alleges that the defendant violated
13
    Title 18 of the U.S. Code § 1958(a), to-wit:
                                                   That she did
    knowingly and intentionally conspire, confederate, agree, and
14
15
    have a tacit understanding with others, known and unknown, to
    use or cause another to use the mail or any facility of
16
17
    interstate or foreign commerce with the intent that a murder be
18
    committed in violation of the laws of any state or the United
19
    States as consideration for the receipt of or as consideration
20
    for a promise or agreement to pay anything of pecuniary value.
             I believe that the credible evidence shown today
21
    establishes probable cause that each of the essential elements
22
23
    of the offense charged has been made by the Government.
             With respect to the first element, the use of the
24
25
    interstate, interstate commerce or travel and causing others to
```

use interstate commerce, the evidence was that the defendant operated a motor vehicle to travel to and from Raleigh and Wilmington along our federal interstate highway system to meet with Confidential Source No. 2 and Hysen Sherifi as part of furthering the alleged conspiracy.

In addition, the defendant used the telephone with Confidential Source No. 2, Hysen Sherifi, and Shkumbin Sherifi as part of furthering the alleged conspiracy.

Additionally, she used the Internet, Twitter, as part of furthering the alleged conspiracy and used the mails providing the screenshot of the witness' address in furtherance of the alleged conspiracy.

The second element, intent that a murder be committed in violation of the laws of the United States; in other words, the Court considers what actions by this defendant have been established sufficiently demonstrating the intention of the conspiracy was that a murder be committed.

To that end, the evidence was that, the evidence showed communications and meetings between Confidential Source No. 2 in which a photograph of the witness was exchanged. The confidential source told the defendant that there needed to be confirmation of which witness was to, in fact, be killed. The defendant's response was, "Okay." The reasonable inference there is is that she understood. Confidential Source No. 2 asked the defendant whether the defendant had the money to pay

for the hit. Defendant said she did, but she did not have 1 permission to provide it at that time and would ask for permission to provide that, which she did so ask and so provide. She paid \$750 to CS 2 and advised that Shkumbin Sherifi would provide the rest. We know this because Shkumbin 5 Sherifi told CS 2 when he met CS 2 that, or the communication 7 was that, that this defendant had provided the initial payment.

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Finally, the testimony was that the defendant herself admitted post Miranda that the, that she knew that as of January the 2nd, 2011 [sic] that the plot was to kill witnesses. The testimony was, also, that there, that the defendant had activities in furtherance of the conspiracy subsequent to January the 2nd.

Second, there was testimony that the defendant admitted the plot was to try and assist Mr. Hysen Sherifi and also Subasic.

With respect to the consideration element of the underlying charge, the evidence, as I indicated, was that this defendant provided the initial payment in furtherance of the conspiracy of \$750.

I find based on that evidence that sufficient probable cause has been established and that the case should continue on for prosecution.

With respect to detention, the second issue to be decided by the Court today, the issue with respect to detention is whether there is a condition or a combination of conditions that will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant and the safety of any other person and the community.

The factors that this Court must consider in accordance with the Bail Reform Act include the following:

- (1) The nature and the circumstances of the offense charged, including whether the offense is a crime of violence or involves a narcotic drug;
 - (2) The weight of the evidence against the defendant;
- (3) The history and character of the defendant, including his or her employment, family and community ties, the defendant's financial resources, the defendant's past conduct, the defendant's history relating to drug and alcohol abuse, the defendant's criminal history, including convictions and prior arrests, the defendant's record concerning appearances at court proceedings in the past, and whether at the time of the offense the defendant was on probation;

Finally, the Court should consider the nature and the seriousness of the danger to any person or to the community that would be posed should the defendant be released.

In my view, the defendant does not pose a, a danger for the reasons set forth by defense counsel. I do not believe that the Government has met by clear and convincing evidence that she poses a danger. The evidence -- the testimony, I believe, was that -- the inference from the testimony was that

if, if, if defendant had not met with Hysen Sherifi she would not have been involved in the conspiracy and would not, would not have been involved in the, the facts in this case.

So I don't believe the Government's met, met their burden by clear and convincing evidence.

With respect to risk of flight, it's a much closer question. The standard is much lower, preponderance by the evidence, and I believe that the Government has met it with respect to risk of flight. The, the Court is, is not, is not, is not looking at the defendant's criminal history, whereby the, the Court can simply say, "Well, the defendant's past conduct is a, is a predictor of future behavior. How has the defendant complied with the court, court directives in the past?" Typically, in those cases where there are defendants who have a history of probation violations, probation revocations, committing offenses while on probation, courts rely on that to, to say that, "Based on this defendant's past conduct, the Court is not assured that the defendant will comply."

In this case, we don't have that. The defendant is not, according to the Pretrial report, does not have a criminal history. That is not to say that, that in those cases where a defendant does not have a criminal history that is dispositive that the defendant should be released on conditions. No. The Bail Reform Act includes a variety of bases for the Court to

consider.

2.2

In this case, what, what gives this Court great pause is the impetus for flight because of the, because of the punishment that this defendant is facing. The missing passport and great reluctance to release this defendant under conditions with the simple guarantee that if the passport is found it will be, it will be returned to the Court.

Adding to this matrix is the fact that this defendant has extensive travel overseas, extensive connections, access to property, and a family overseas. Now what this is not saying is that someone with, someone who simply travels internationally dispositively poses a flight risk. I think that it must be considered in the totality of the circumstances, which this Court faces, and based on that totality I believe that there is a, is a risk of flight, risk of non-appearance of the defendant in this case and for that reason, the defendant should be detained prior to further resolution.

Now, Ms. Elshiekh, although I have not found in your favor this afternoon, please understand that you have absolutely every right to appeal my order to the U. S. District Court Judge presiding over this case. I -- until today, I have not worked with your counsel, Mr. Swift or Ms. Moreno, but everything that they have done before me today with respect to this case indicates to me that they are, they are able and

- 1 talented counsel. They have made a good argument in your case
- 2 and I see no reason that they could not make an effective
- 3 appeal of my decision, if you choose to do that. I will take
- 4 | this opportunity to advise you that should you choose to
- 5 appeal, that you must file a notice of appeal within 14 days of
- 6 my order.
- 7 Now while I have entered an oral order just now, I
- 8 | will issue a written order this afternoon and once that written
- 9 order is issued, it will begin the clock, if you will, by which
- 10 you must appeal, take the appeal to the District Court.
- 11 All right. Is there anything further from the parties
- 12 | with respect to this case?
- 13 MR. SEVERO: Nothing from the Government, Your Honor.
- 14 THE COURT: Mr. Swift, Ms. Moreno?
- MR. SWIFT: No, Your Honor.
- 16 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
- 17 | COURT SECURITY OFFICER: All rise. This United States
- 18 | Court is adjourned.
- 19 THE COURT: And Ms. Moreno and Mr. Swift, can I see
- 20 | you for a moment?
- 21 MR. SWIFT: Sure thing.
- 22 -- with the missing passport, should we locate it,
- 23 | would the Court be willing to reconsider?
- 24 THE COURT: You'll have to make your argument -- the
- 25 Bail Reform Act provides for an avenue for appeal and I'll just

```
1
    refer you to the, to 3142.
             MR. SWIFT: I just wanted to know if -- I don't want
 2
    to put appeals that --
 3
             THE COURT: I have, I have made, I have made rulings
 4
    in the past when this issue has come up, obviously in a
 5
    different context, and found that the better practice is just
 6
 7
    refer to the parties to the Bail Reform Act 'cause that, that
    provides for reopening of bail.
 8
             MR. SWIFT: Okay.
 9
10
             THE COURT: All right.
11
             MR. SWIFT: Yes, Your Honor.
12
             THE COURT: Come on up.
13
         (Bench conference, off the record)
         (Proceedings concluded at 1:44 p.m.)
14
15
16
                               CERTIFICATE
             I, court approved transcriber, certify that the
17
18
    foregoing is a correct transcript from the official electronic
19
    sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled
20
    matter.
    /s/ Janice Russell
                                              March 5, 2012
21
    Janice Russell, Transcriber
22
                                                  Date
23
24
25
```